		10	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION		
3	_		
4	KENNETH CHAPMAN, et al.,	Case No. 1:16-cv-1114	
5	Plaintiffs,	Cleveland, Ohio	
6	VS.	MONDAY, JULY 10, 2017	
7	TRISTAR PRODUCTS, INC.,		
8	Defendant.	VOLUME 1-B	
9			
10			
11	TRANSCRI	PT OF TRIAL BY JURY	
12	HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES S. GWIN		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	Court Reporter:	Donnalee Cotone, RMR, CRR United States District Court	
20		801 West Superior Avenue	
21		Court Reporters 7-189 Cleveland, Ohio 44113	
22		216.357.7078	
23			
24	December 1		
25	proceedings recorded by more produced by computer-aide	echanical stenography; transcript d transcription.	

Cas	e: 1:16-cv-01114-JG Doc #: 122 Filed: 07/10/17 2 of 134. PageID #: 2	2777 41
1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2	WITNESSES:	PAGE:
4 5	JOHN D. PRATT, Ph.D	83
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Coleman	83 114 130
7	JESSICA R. VENNEL	133
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Edwards	133 147
10	KENNETH CHAPMAN	152
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Wallace	152 160
12		
13	****	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		42
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	GREGORY F. COLEMAN, ESQ. ADAM A. EDWARDS, ESQ.
3		Law Office of Greg Coleman 1100 First Tennessee Plaza 800 South Gay Street
5		Knoxville, TN 37929
6		JACK LANDSKRONER, ESQ. Landskroner Grieco Merriman 1360 West Ninth Street, Suite 200
7		Cleveland, OH 44113
8		EDWARD A. WALLACE, ESQ. Wexler Wallace
9		55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60603
11	For the Defendant:	JOHN Q. LEWIS, ESQ. JONATHAN F. FECZKO, ESQ. ZACHARY J. ADAMS, ESQ.
12		Tucker Ellis - Cleveland 950 Main Avenue, Suite 1100
13		Cleveland, OH 44113
14		ROGER A. COLAIZZI, ESQ. Venable
15		600 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 2 09:10:40 3 09:10:44 09:10:51 4 09:11:09 5 09:11:12 6 09:11:16 7 09:11:21 9 09:11:23 10 09:11:26 11 09:11:29 12 09:11:33 13 09:11:36 14 09:11:40 09:11:45 15 16 09:11:48 17 09:11:51 18 09:11:56 19 09:11:59 09:12:03 20 21 09:12:07 09:12:11 22 23 09:12:14 24 09:12:19 25 09:12:23

(In Open Court.)

THE COURT: I'll ask the jury that's been selected at this time to stand so as to be sworn.

(The impaneled jury was sworn.)

THE COURT: And I'd ask the jurors to take a seat. I'd ask everybody else to take a seat.

Now, members of the jury, now that you have been sworn in, I'm going to give you some preliminary instructions to guide you in your participation in this trial.

In this case, it will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You and you alone will be the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply those facts to the law as I will give it to you. You must follow that law whether you agree with it or disagree with it.

Now, nothing that the Court may say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate or should be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be.

Now, the evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of the witnesses, documents and other things received into the record as exhibits, and any facts that the lawyers agree to, or stipulate to, or that the Court could potentially instruct you to find.

Certain things are not evidence and must not be considered. I will give you a list of them now.

Statements, arguments, and questions by lawyers are

not evidence. Importantly, the questions give context to 1 09:12:26 the answers, but it is the answers that are the evidence. 2 09:12:32 The questions themselves are never evidence in the case. 3 09:12:36 Objections to the questions are also not evidence. 09:12:40 4 Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make 09:12:46 5 objections when they believe evidence being offered is 09:12:49 6 09:12:52 7 improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be 09:12:55 influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:13:00

09:13:03

09:13:08

09:13:12

09:13:15

09:13:16

09:13:20

09:13:24

09:13:28

09:13:31

09:13:35

09:13:40

09:13:41

09:13:46

09:13:51

09:13:54

09:14:01

If the objection is sustained, ignore the question.

If it is overruled, treat the answer just like any other.

If you are ever instructed that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose only, you must follow that instruction.

Now, testimony that the Court has excluded or testimony that the Court has instructed you to disregard is also not evidence and must not be considered.

And anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide this case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

And importantly, I'll instruct you later, but I'll also instruct you now that you're not allowed to do any outside investigation. So no looking things up on the Internet, no Googling things, no things of that nature. No communications at all about the nature of the case or the

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:14:03

09:14:08

09:14:11

09:14:14

09:14:19

09:14:23

09:14:29

09:14:31

09:14:34

09:14:37

09:14:40

09:14:46

09:14:50

09:14:54

09:14:59

09:15:03

09:15:05

09:15:09

09:15:14

09:15:19

09:15:26

09:15:30

09:15:35

09:15:38

09:15:44

evidence. No questioning anybody else about what evidence as of or background facts.

You need to rely solely upon the evidence that comes to you in this trial. So stay away from any kind of, you know, online investigation or comment about this case.

Now, there are two types of evidence: Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness.

Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I begin this morning with kind of an example of the difference.

If you had a witness that came in and testified that "I saw Tommy eating the cake," that would be direct evidence. If you believe the witness, you could find that Tommy ate the cake.

Now, in contrast, you could have a witness that came in and testified that "I baked a cake. I finished putting icing on it. I opened the refrigerator door and put the full cake on the top shelf of the refrigerator. Just then the phone down the hall rang. I walked down to answer the phone. My son, Tommy, was coming the opposite direction into the kitchen. I passed him in the hallway. I was on the phone about a minute or so. I came back in towards the kitchen and I saw Tommy scurrying out the other door, but I

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:15:49

09:15:53

09:15:58

09:16:03

09:16:05

09:16:07

09:16:13

09:16:15

09:16:19

09:16:23

09:16:28

09:16:33

09:16:36

09:16:40

09:16:43

09:16:47

09:16:48

09:16:54

09:16:58

09:17:04

09:17:09

09:17:12

09:17:16

09:17:24

09:17:29

could see as he was leaving the room he had icing on his face. I opened the refrigerator door and immediately saw that somebody had taken a big chunk out of the cake I had just put in there."

That would all be circumstantial evidence, but someone could reasonably draw an inference that Tommy had opened the refrigerator door and eaten the cake.

So there's no inherently -- neither direct evidence nor circumstantial evidence is inherently more trustworthy. Instead, you should consider all the evidence and use your usual sense of, you know, belief in deciding whether to credit or not credit evidence.

Now, once again, it will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe and which witnesses not to believe and which part or all of their testimony you would choose to believe or not believe.

Now, in making judgments on credibility or believability, you will use the general rules that you apply in your everyday lives. In general, those include whether the witness was in a position to accurately see what they testify about, whether they accurately recall what they testified to, whether they accurately describe what they testified to, also whether they have some modus or bias that might cause them to slant the testimony or some other reason that in your everyday lives would cause you to discount or

support the testimony of a witness.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:17:33

09:17:34

09:17:39

09:17:42

09:17:47

09:17:51

09:17:55

09:17:59

09:18:01

09:18:05

09:18:08

09:18:11

09:18:15

09:18:19

09:18:22

09:18:26

09:18:29

09:18:33

09:18:36

09:18:40

09:18:42

09:18:48

09:18:54

09:18:56

09:19:00

Now, I'll give you some factors you can consider later in the case, but in general, you're not required to believe any witness. You're free to choose all a witness' testimony or none of it or some portion of it. But that's, again, committed to you. And you should use the judgments and tests that you use in your everyday lives in making that decision.

This, again, is a civil case. The plaintiff in this case has the burden of proving their case by what is referred to as a preponderance of the evidence. That means that the plaintiff has to produce evidence which, when compared and considered in light of all the facts, leads you to believe that what the plaintiff claims is more likely true than not true.

To put it differently, if you were to put the plaintiff and the defendant's evidence on opposite sides of the scale, the plaintiff would have to make the scale tip somewhat to their side. If the plaintiff fails to meet this burden, the verdict must be for the defendant.

Now, some of you may have heard on TV or may have even sat on juries where there was a burden of proof described as proof beyond a reasonable doubt. That's a high burden that's used in criminal cases. It doesn't apply in this case.

So once again, the burden of proof in this case is by 1 09:19:05 2 proof beyond a reasonable doubt. 09:19:13 MR. COLEMAN: Your Honor, I don't mean to 3 09:19:20 interrupt. I think you said the burden of proof in this 09:19:23 case is beyond a reasonable doubt. 09:19:26 THE COURT: No, I'm sorry. I meant the burden 09:19:29 6 09:19:31 7 of proof is not beyond a reasonable doubt. 8 Now, just to summarize. I'll give you more specific 09:19:37 9 09:19:43 10 09:19:48 11 09:19:52

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

09:19:57

09:20:02

09:20:07

09:20:15

09:20:20

09:20:25

09:20:32

09:20:37

09:20:41

09:20:45

09:20:50

09:20:53

09:20:58

09:20:59

instructions at the conclusion of the case, but the disputed issues that you're going to generally decide will include whether or not the cookers that will be described to you had a defect. You will then also decide whether -- if the cookers did have a defect, whether or not that defect breaches an express warranty between the defendant, Tristar, and the purchasers. And you will also make a decision that if the cookers had a defect, whether the cookers breached an implied warranty that was given to consumers.

And if you find that it did, you will then make some decision as well as to -- or you will make the decision as well as to whether the defect was sufficient that it made the respective cookers worthless.

Now, I'll explain these claims in more detail, but those are going to be the general issues that you'll be deciding.

Let me give you some brief introductions about your

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:21:04

09:21:10

09:21:15

09:21:19

09:21:24

09:21:29

09:21:32

09:21:35

09:21:39

09:21:43

09:21:48

09:21:52

09:21:56

09:22:00

09:22:05

09:22:09

09:22:13

09:22:16

09:22:21

09:22:28

09:22:33

09:22:38

09:22:42

09:22:46

09:22:50

conduct as jurors. First, I'm going to instruct that until you begin deliberations, keep your mind open. The evidence in the case comes to you sequentially, and you don't have all the evidence until the exhibits are given to you in the jury room and you begin deliberations. So keep your mind open. Don't reach or form or express any conclusions.

So you're obviously not allowed to say anything about the case outside the courtroom, but you're also forbidden from saying anything among yourselves during the interim before the case has been submitted to you. I'm sure you can appreciate there's a tendency in human nature that once we have expressed an opinion on something, there's an ownership interest that sometimes would impair somebody from, you know, fairly deciding the issue. So stay away from taking any positions on the evidence.

Don't -- you're not to have any conversation with any of the parties, witnesses, or attorneys. They're not to talk to you; you're not to talk to them. You know, don't engage in any conversation, you know, at all with them. You know, no conversations about how the Indians left so many base runners on base last night and let Detroit sneak away with a victory. Nothing of that type. So stay away from them. It's just -- the courtroom -- there's some meeting facilities here and you'll likely be seeing some of the participants in the hallways, but stay away from them.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

09:22:55

09:22:58

09:23:02

09:23:03

09:23:08

09:23:12

09:23:14

09:23:17

09:23:20

09:23:25

09:23:28

09:23:33

09:23:35

09:23:38

09:23:46

09:23:49

09:23:53

09:23:55

09:23:58

09:24:04

09:24:09

09:24:13

09:24:14

09:24:19

09:24:23

Also, as I indicated before, don't take -- don't do any private investigation. You'll get everything you need to hear in trial.

I'm going to let you take notes, and we'll distribute -- well, you've got the pads and pens to help you with that.

Never let the taking of notes interfere with your attention to the evidence. The evidence won't be slowed and won't be repeated, so it's important for you to not reach, form or express any conclusions until you begin your deliberations. So don't let taking of notes interfere with your attention to the evidence.

Also, the fact that somebody takes notes or may take more extensive notes does not mean that those notes more accurately reflect what the testimony had been. So you're to use your individual judgment and collective wisdom as to what the evidence was in this case.

If you have any personal problems during the trial, report them to the deputies. You've already probably met Jessica and Patrick. And Jackson is the person immediately in front of me. So if you have any issues, bring it to their attention.

At this point in time, we're going to take a brief recess to allow the parties to get ready for opening statements.

09:24:24	1	So you'll be taken back to the jury room. We'll plan
09:24:29	2	on reconvening in about 10 minutes, but all afford the
09:24:33	3	parties I'm not sure if they have any electronic
09:24:36	4	materials they want to use for opening or otherwise.
09:24:39	5	So we'll take an adjournment for about ten minutes.
09:24:45	6	(Recess taken.)
09:38:22	7	THE COURT: The jury can be brought back in.
09:38:25	8	But there was some indication that the parties wanted
09:38:28	9	to go over some evidentiary rulings. Is that correct?
09:38:38	10	MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor.
09:38:39	11	MR. COLEMAN: Yes.
09:38:40	12	THE COURT: Kind of to cut to the quick. My
09:38:42	13	understanding was there was some issue with regard to
09:38:45	14	whether how much the plaintiff could get into personal
09:38:51	15	injury damages.
09:38:53	16	And I would say the plaintiff cannot get into those
09:39:00	17	for the reasons in the ruling I gave the other day.
09:39:03	18	So I think you can describe the incident. I think you
09:39:07	19	can why don't everybody else sit down.
09:39:10	20	I think you can describe, you know, the nature of the
09:39:14	21	incident, and you can even ask the party or the witness
09:39:20	22	whether, you know, materials scorched them or something of
09:39:26	23	that nature, but I don't want to get into anything
09:39:29	24	particular about the personal injury claims.
09:39:32	25	MR. COLEMAN: And, Your Honor, just so I don't

screw up, which I could do: No photos of the burn injuries, 1 09:39:34 is that what you're saying? 2 09:39:39 3 THE COURT: Right. Right. 09:39:40 MR. COLEMAN: I just want to make sure. 09:39:42 4 take them out of our opening statement portion. 5 09:39:45 THE COURT: Okay. There's also a question 6 09:39:48 09:39:49 7 regarding evidence and arguments in other lawsuits and other 8 Tristar products. 09:39:56 9 Stay away from those generally. But I'd caution the 09:39:57 defense counsel, if defense counsel starts getting into 10 09:40:01 offering evidence or argument that there -- you know, that 11 09:40:06 12 there was di minimis notice of other injuries or other, I 09:40:12 think you may open yourself up to giving the plaintiff an 13 09:40:16 14 opportunity to offer evidence on that. So don't use as some 09:40:20 15 kind of defense the idea that these were, you know, 09:40:27 16 one-of-a-kind incidents. 09:40:31 So I'll grant the motion in limine but caution that 17 09:40:34 18 defense counsel, you get very close to that argument, you're 09:40:41 going to open it up. 19 09:40:44 20 With regard to the consumer product safety council, I 09:40:45 21 am still somewhat unclear. I think the findings of the 09:40:52 09:40:58 22 consumer product safety council are generally admissible if 23 it's a final ruling. It was somewhat unclear to me as to 09:41:02 24 whether the Consumer Product Safety Commission had made 09:41:05

25

09:41:07

final rulings.

MR. COLEMAN: I think from my standpoint, and 1 09:41:09 I think John will agree, they have not, Your Honor. I think 2 09:41:11 it's still under investigation. 3 09:41:15 But let me ask this: The complaints that the CPSC 09:41:17 4 had, they're redacted as to who they are and that sort of 09:41:22 thing. 09:41:25 09:41:26 7 The complaints that Tristar sent to the CPSC, are 8 those allowed to gotten into or am I to stay away from 09:41:32 9 those. 09:41:34 10 THE COURT: Stay away from the substance of 09:41:34 the complaints unless they open it up. 11 09:41:37 12 MR. COLEMAN: Okay. 09:41:38 13 MR. LEWIS: May I ask a clarification on that, 09:41:39 14 Your Honor? 09:41:41 My understanding was that if we limited it to the 15 09:41:42 16 products at issue here, that the incidents -- and the 09:41:46 incidents, right, would be fair game -- but it's the 17 09:41:49 18 products outside of this series that we were moving in 09:41:53 19 limine to exclude because the number is very small for the 09:41:57 overall product line at issue here. 09:42:02 20 21 THE COURT: I'm not sure what you're saying. 09:42:04 09:42:06 22 Are you asking about nonpressure cookers involved in this 23 case? 09:42:10 24 MR. LEWIS: Pressure -- I'm asking 09:42:11 25 about -- the data that I was intending to utilize was data 09:42:15

```
about incidents with this series of pressure cookers.
         1
09:42:18
                             THE COURT: Well, unless there's a final
         2
09:42:22
             ruling, I'm not sure how you get into that.
         3
09:42:24
                             MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry. Not on the CPSC, on
09:42:27
         4
             the incidents themselves, the other incidents.
         5
09:42:31
                             THE COURT: I caution you, you go into that, I
09:42:33
         6
09:42:35
         7
             think you may open it up and let the plaintiff bring in
         8
             details about those.
09:42:38
                             MR. LEWIS: About other incidents with these
         9
09:42:39
             particular series?
        10
09:42:42
        11
                             THE COURT: Right.
09:42:44
                            MR. LEWIS: And with details, like pictures
       12
09:42:45
       13
             and things like that?
09:42:47
                             THE COURT: Maybe. So I don't think you can
09:42:49
       14
       15
             fairly defend by saying no other incidents have occurred or
09:42:50
       16
             the other incidents are of a minimal nature and not give the
09:42:55
             plaintiff an opportunity to respond to that.
       17
09:43:03
       18
                            MR. COLEMAN: All right. Your Honor, I'll
09:43:10
             take that there will be no reference to that in my opening.
       19
09:43:12
                             THE COURT: Okay. You know, normally, the
        20
09:43:15
       21
             plaintiff's attorney wears a different color suit than the
09:43:21
09:43:24
        22
             defense attorney.
                             MR. LEWIS: Yeah. Switch, right? That's how
       23
09:43:25
       24
             you tell us apart.
09:43:27
                            MR. COLEMAN: Your Honor, Mr. Edwards and I
       25
09:43:28
```

```
both were on that side of the V for about 15 years, so I
         1
09:43:30
              quess I had to stop it.
         2
09:43:34
         3
                             THE COURT: Okay. You want to get the jury?
09:43:35
                             MR. COLEMAN: Can I stand here to address the
09:43:39
         4
              jury?
         5
09:43:42
                             THE COURT: That's fine.
09:43:43
         6
09:43:44
         7
                    How long do you expect?
         8
                             MR. COLEMAN: 20, 25 minutes, Your Honor.
09:43:46
                             THE COURT: Okay. Before they begin, let me
        9
09:43:48
              ask the attorneys to come up to the bench.
        10
09:44:13
                    (At side bar.)
        11
09:44:26
        12
                             THE COURT: I was curious. Judge Greenberg I
09:44:26
        13
              happen to notice was here. I wondered if there's been -- I
09:44:29
        14
              know you guys didn't settle the case, but I wondered if
09:44:33
       15
              there's any benefit to -- whether there's any benefit to
09:44:36
       16
              taking a few minutes maybe even over the lunchtime to try to
09:44:40
       17
              talk.
09:44:46
       18
                             MR. LANDSKRONER: I've been -- John and Greg
09:44:48
              have been prepping for trial.
       19
09:44:49
                             MR. COLEMAN: John and I have been in the
09:44:51
        20
        21
              trenches.
09:44:53
09:44:53
        2.2
                             MR. LANDSKRONER: Roger Colaizzi and I have
        23
              been talking, and it probably is worth a couple of minutes
09:44:56
        24
              at lunch, and I'm glad to do that with him.
09:45:00
        2.5
                             THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask if he's got a
09:45:01
```

couple of minutes. 1 09:45:04 (The jury is present.) 2 09:45:30 THE COURT: So I'll ask everyone to take a 3 09:45:30 09:45:58 seat. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, at this point, the 09:46:08 parties are given the chance to make opening statements to 09:46:11 6 you, which is an opportunity for both sides to give you an 09:46:15 7 overview of evidence they anticipate is going to be offered. 09:46:19 It's also their opportunity to give you in some ways kind of 9 09:46:24 a roadmap to the evidence. 10 09:46:29 So in the order of the trial will be the plaintiff 11 09:46:31 will go first. The defense will then follow. 12 09:46:34 13 I wanted to raise one other thing with you, and that 09:46:38 14 is just simply that in this case, you'll be permitted to 09:46:42 09:46:48 15 take notes. 16 I'm also going to let you propose questions once we 09:46:49 get the witnesses in. So after each witness has testified, 17 09:46:52 18 I'll look over to you. If any of you have a question you 09:46:58 want to propose to that witness, give some indication. 19 09:47:02 Write the question down. I'll go through it with the 09:47:08 20 parties. If I make a ruling that it's appropriate to ask 21 09:47:12 09:47:15 22 the question, we'll ask the question that you propose. 23 Importantly, you need to move quickly because once the 09:47:22 witness has left the stand, it's too late. And also caution 24 09:47:25 25 that I'll make the decision on that. So, you know, if you 09:47:29

proposed a question and it's not, you know, asked, it's 1 09:47:34 because I've ruled that. 2 09:47:38 3 So at this point in time, I'd ask plaintiff to make 09:47:40 opening statement. 09:47:43 5 MR. COLEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 09:47:45 6 OPENING STATEMENT BY THE PLAINTIFFS 09:47:45 09:47:47 7 MR. COLEMAN: Good morning again, ladies and 8 gentleman. I'll formally introduce myself. I'm Greg 09:47:49 Coleman. You can probably tell from my accent I'm from a 9 09:47:53

little further south from here.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

09:47:57

09:47:59

09:48:02

09:48:02

09:48:06

09:48:10

09:48:13

09:48:16

09:48:24

09:48:30

09:48:34

09:48:35

09:48:39

09:48:39

09:48:45

09:48:46

I forgot to introduce two of the most important folks that are here in addition to our -- well, three actually. That's Danielle Coleman. Don't hold it against her that she's my daughter. That's Dawn Holt. And that's Deb Spaller. And that's the rest of our team.

And we're privileged today to talk to you about three very good, fine, important people, and that's

Kenneth Chapman, Jessica Vennel, and Jason Jackson, who is seated. As I said, Mr. Jackson and Mr. Chapman -- raise your hand one more time, Mr. Jackson.

There in the kind of aqua shirt.

Mr. Chapman is there in the suit and tie.

As I indicated earlier, Jessica Vennel would be here.

In fact, she's very upset she's not able to be here, but she had some pretty tough surgery with some complications

following the surgery on June 28th, and so the Court and opposing counsel, we're going to present her testimony via videoconference. So you'll get to see her and observe her and hear her testimony. It's just she's unable to be here live because she can't travel this far.

Well, I want to talk to you about --

Can you turn on the stuff back here, please? Thank you so much.

As the Court indicated, it's our opportunity to give you an overview -- and you'll see it coming up on your screen -- about what is this about? Why are we here? Why are you taking time away from grandchildren and families and jobs and things like that? Because it's an important case is why.

And this case, as the court indicated earlier, is a class action case. I think sometimes we -- and I'm guilty of this because I used to be on that side of the V before I changed several years ago. And I'm guilty of this. We have this preconceived notion, class actions, that's something where lawyers just make a lot of money and somebody gets a 5 cent coupon. This is not one of those cases. So if there's any preconceived notion about that, that's not what this case is about.

A class action occurs where you've got a group of people like Mr. Jackson, who is from Colorado, like

09:48:59 3
09:49:02 4
09:49:06 5
09:49:09 6
09:49:13 7
09:49:18 8
09:49:18 9

09:48:50

09:48:55

1

2

09:49:26 11
09:49:32 12
09:49:35 13
09:49:39 14

09:49:22

10

15 09:49:40 16 09:49:44 17 09:49:49 18 09:49:54 19 09:49:57 09:50:01 20 21 09:50:05 09:50:09 22 23 09:50:12

09:50:13

09:50:16

24

25

Mr. Chapman, who is from right here in Ohio, and Ms. Vennel, 1 09:50:20 who is from Pennsylvania, they've already been vetted and 2 09:50:25 approved by the Court to stand as a representative of their 3 09:50:29 In other words, as opposed to having thousands of 09:50:33 people come in and take up your time and the Court's time, 09:50:36 these three folks stand as representatives for their states 09:50:40 6 09:50:43 7 with respect to the claims in this case. I hope that kind 8 of makes sense to you. As opposed to having witness after 09:50:45 witness talk about it. 9 09:50:49 10 Class certification has already taken place, meaning 09:50:51

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:50:55

09:50:58

09:51:01

09:51:03

09:51:07

09:51:08

09:51:13

09:51:14

09:51:17

09:51:21

09:51:23

09:51:26

09:51:31

09:51:34

09:51:38

Class certification has already taken place, meaning the Court has gone through a process with opposing counsel, with me, and with the plaintiffs identifying the claims that you're going to decide -- you heard the Court talk about them -- and whether these plaintiffs are entitled to recover.

You say, well, what's the big deal? It's a 100 to \$160 product.

Well, as you're going to hear, it is a big deal, because all we're asking for really is our money back, and we're having a little trouble getting it.

Why we are here. There are two things you've got to be deciding. Judge Gwin has given us a roadmap.

Number one, as you'll see on your screen, is this pressure cooker defective? Does it operate the way it was intended to or is it defective such that it does not operate

the way it's intended to?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:51:38

09:51:41

09:51:46

09:51:49

09:51:53

09:51:56

09:51:59

09:52:00

09:52:04

09:52:06

09:52:11

09:52:11

09:52:14

09:52:17

09:52:21

09:52:23

09:52:28

09:52:32

09:52:36

09:52:40

09:52:45

09:52:51

09:52:53

09:52:55

09:52:57

If it is defective, is it worthless? You heard the Court talk about that in his opening comments to you right before you left for your break. Meaning, if something's not able to be used for its intended purpose -- our position is a pressure cooker, you're supposed to be able to use it as a pressure cooker.

If it's not able to be used safely for its intended purpose, well, that product is worthless. And the defense, of course, will explain their position after I'm done with this portion.

Here's the key: If you find these two questions are answered in our favor, what we're asking for is a full refund. Just give us our money back for the products that we paid and bought, and we want that back because they're not worth what we thought they were when we bought them.

Now, who is Tristar. Well, what you're going to hear, it's a company with over a billion dollars in sales. They import products made in China to sell to the US and around the world, a company that has made -- since 2014, it's sold over 2 million Pressure Cooker XL units. Those are the units right here. These are actually, if you'll look with me, the three units of the plaintiffs.

We've had stipulations with cocounsel about certain things, and everybody agrees that this is Miss Vennel's

1 09:53:01 2 09:53:06 3 09:53:11 09:53:13 09:53:16 09:53:21 6 09:53:25 7 8 09:53:29 9 09:53:32 10 09:53:40 11 09:53:41 12 09:53:45 13 09:53:54 14 09:53:58 09:54:01 15 16 09:54:02 17 09:54:06 18 09:54:08 19 09:54:13 09:54:17 20 21 09:54:19

09:54:24

09:54:27

09:54:30

09:54:35

22

23

24

25

unit, this is Mr. Chapman's unit, and this is Mr. Jackson's unit, so there's no dispute about that.

Over 2 million of those have been sold. There are three models. There's the -- well, three-plus.

There's the 770 series, which includes the 770-1. What does that mean? It's 6 quart, all right? It's the smaller model. The 780 model. You can imagine as the numbers increase, the units are going to be bigger. So the 770 model is 6 quart, the 780 model is 8 quart, the 790 model is 10 quart.

Those are the products that we're here asking you for relief on today and the next couple of days.

Now, the meat of the issue in this case, no pun intended with the cooking, a company that touts the safety of the Power Pressure Cooker units as its primary selling point to an unsuspecting public is also who Tristar is.

You're going to hear about evidence about, oh, this is the safest product. If there's even human error, the lid is never supposed to come off while the unit is under pressure.

Well, as you'll hear from these three folks, the exact opposite happened. Some very severe burns. And you heard the Judge talk about there were some burns that occurred to the plaintiffs as a result of the products in this case.

Tristar also boasts and warrants that its safety locking device will prevent the removal of the lid of

pressure cooker while the contents are under pressure. So you should be able to -- just as this is an example right here.

This unit, you should be able to put your food in, make sure the lid is on securely. You cook it for however long you cook it, then you will release the steam. That's the only way that you know that the product is done cooking and there's no more pressure in the unit, because the steam has been released.

Once that steam is released, you should be able to open the product safely without any issues. But unfortunately that's not what happened, not with these three folks.

Now, here are the units that we've talked about. This is the box it comes in. You'll see, it says up at the top, Power Pressure Cooker XL. This is the 6 quart box as you see in red. There are the three units sizes. The 770 model on the far left, in the middle is the 780 model, and on the far right is the 790 model.

Now, here are the warranties, the representations made by the company in the owner's manual that every class member gets, that these three folks will testify they reviewed, they relied upon. And this is what the owner's manual says.

Lid safety device: It prevents pressure buildup if the lid is not probably closed. That's number one. And

1 09:54:38 2 09:54:42 3 09:54:44 09:54:45 09:54:48 09:54:52 6 09:54:56 7 09:54:59 9 09:55:03 10 09:55:04 11 09:55:07 12 09:55:10 13 09:55:13 14 09:55:13 15 09:55:21 16 09:55:26 17 09:55:31 18 09:55:36 19 09:55:41 09:55:43 20 21 09:55:46 09:55:51 22 23 09:55:55 24 09:56:00

25

09:56:05

prevents the lid from opening until all pressure is released.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:56:09

09:56:12

09:56:13

09:56:14

09:56:18

09:56:21

09:56:25

09:56:27

09:56:31

09:56:35

09:56:38

09:56:39

09:56:43

09:56:45

09:56:50

09:56:55

09:56:56

09:57:01

09:57:04

09:57:07

09:57:13

09:57:16

09:57:19

09:57:22

09:57:26

So there's two things. Did you catch that? Two things. Number one, it prevents the pressure from building up if you can't get the darn thing closed. The pressure won't build up because it's not on properly.

The other thing that the pressure cooker is supposed to be able to do, is that once the lid is on properly, you should never, ever, as you'll hear, be able to remove the lid with any pressure in the unit. Okay? That, again, makes sense.

If there's pressure in the unit and the lid is able to come off while there's pressure there, what's going to happen? Those super hot contents are going to come over somebody and other areas of the kitchen, okay? That's the primary claim in this case.

What's also represented in the owner's manual under the frequently asked questions portion is this. It's a great question. Why would the lid come off when it shouldn't? Look at the answer: It should only come off if there was no pressure inside.

I want you to remember those statements because they're statements that the plaintiffs in this case, again, all class members receive one of these when they buy these that folks rely on.

What else do we know? We know that Mr. Lozano, who is 1 09:57:28 2 the company representative in this case, that you'll hear 09:57:33 live testimony from, he says the following. 3 09:57:36 The question was asked of Mr. Lozano: The Power 09:57:38 4 Pressure Cooker XLs are designed so that if there's pressure 09:57:43 in the unit, the lid should not be able to come off. Right? 09:57:46 The answer he gave was: Yes. 09:57:49 7 8 And then the follow-up question: If the lid can come 09:57:51 9 off, the unit's defective? 09:57:54 His answer: If the unit -- if the lid can come off? 10 09:57:57 Question: While there's pressure in it. 11 09:58:01 12 Answer: I will say that it's defective. 09:58:03 Well, of course. 13 09:58:06 14 So we know that we have to present evidence to the 09:58:08 15 Court, because the Judge wouldn't let us if we didn't. 09:58:11 16 know it's got to be competent evidence. So we asked an 09:58:14 expert witness, who you'll hear from after defense and I are 17 09:58:18 18 finished with opening statements, and his name is Dr. John 09:58:23 19 Pratt. 09:58:28 20 Dr. Pratt, just raise your hand back there. 09:58:29 21 You're going to hear from him next. He is a Ph.D. 09:58:30 09:58:34 2.2 His background is immaculate. Structural mechanics from the 23 University of California. He has a Ph.D. in civil 09:58:39 24 engineering from there. He's a master of science in 09:58:42 25 mechanical engineering, California State University, 09:58:45

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:58:48

09:58:52

09:58:53

09:58:58

09:59:01

09:59:09

09:59:12

09:59:16

09:59:18

09:59:23

09:59:30

09:59:35

09:59:38

09:59:42

09:59:47

09:59:50

09:59:52

09:59:55

09:59:56

09:59:59

10:00:03

10:00:06

10:00:10

10:00:16

10:00:19

Fullerton. He holds over 40 patents. Not only is he an engineer, he's an inventor.

I like this part. I didn't know this until I met

Dr. Pratt. He's a coinventor and lead developer of the

post-9/11 secure terrorist-proof cockpit door decompression

latches now installed on half the world's aircraft. You

think he knows about pressure? I think so. He's the author

of numerous publications.

Well, what we did was, Dr. Pratt and Tristar's expert witness, Mr. Mattice, had a joint testing session on these three units. We had them all meet together.

Mr. Landskroner, cocounsel of the case, was present, along with another opposing counsel, along with the experts. And they did the testing of these three units together so that everybody had a fair shake to understand what the other was doing. That makes sense.

And this is what the results of those tests -- well, let me -- before I go to that.

An important thing to remember -- and you'll see this in the video clips that we'll show -- to make sure that while they were doing the tests, that when they rotated the lid, the lid didn't come off and blow stuff all over them,

Dr. Pratt made a stop key device to stop the lid from completely opening and the burning contents burning all over Mr. Mattice and Dr. Pratt. That was done. You'll see that

on the video clip.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:00:22

10:00:23

10:00:26

10:00:30

10:00:36

10:00:40

10:00:41

10:00:45

10:00:47

10:00:48

10:00:53

10:00:57

10:00:59

10:01:03

10:01:08

10:01:11

10:01:14

10:01:18

10:01:21

10:01:25

10:01:28

10:01:35

10:01:38

10:01:38

10:01:38

The results of the SEA testing was, you'll see on the video, Dr. Pratt was able to rotate the lid of the pressurized Chapman unit at a dangerously high pressure.

We'll talk about that more in a second. Mr. Mattice,

Tristar's expert, was able to rotate the lid.

And when I say rotate, that means they rotated it to the stop key device. Because if you rotated it further, it might blow off, and they didn't want to have that happen, hence the device to stop it from happening. So being able the rotate the lids means that you would be able to continue the maneuver and open it.

The tests revealed that for all three of these units,
Dr. Pratt and Mr. Mattice were able to open them while there
was still dangerously high pressure in the units.

Now, here's what you'll hear from numbers. Now, I'm not an engineer, good brief. I don't have that background. But I trust people in authority who do have that background.

What the testing revealed -- and both experts agreed with these numbers. It's not that Dr. Pratt said, for example, the Chapman unit was a 3.8 and Mr. Mattice says it was at, you know, 1.1. They agree as to the numbers for the pressure.

For Chapman, a gauge pressure at 3.8 psi was found to be present when you could rotate the lid. And if you rotate

1 10:01:45 2 10:01:48 3 10:01:54 10:01:57 10:02:00 10:02:02 6 10:02:04 7 10:02:05 8 9 10:02:09 10 10:02:13 11 10:02:17 12 10:02:22 13 10:02:32 14 10:02:41 10:02:43 15 16 10:02:46 17 10:02:49 18 10:02:52 19 10:02:55 10:02:59 20 21 10:03:01 10:03:06 22 23 10:03:10 24 10:03:10

25

10:03:13

the lid, that means it could have come off.

For Miss Vennel, the gauge pressure was 3.6 psi.

And for Mr. Jackson, the gauge pressure was a very high $4.42~\mathrm{psi}$.

What does this mean? You know, the numbers, what does that mean? This is what it means. Look at that next sentence.

A pressure of 2.5 -- now, remember, all of these were well above the pressure of 2.5 -- equates to a force of approximately 177 pounds. This force would launch the 2.2-pound lid and the superheated contents at over 50 feet per second. 50 feet per second. Yeah. That's what spilled all over Mr. Jackson, Mr. Chapman, and Ms. Vennel.

Dr. Pratt's conclusions -- and you'll hear about this from the stand -- the pressure cooker that can be opened while still pressurized is unreasonably dangerous. For once the law and experts kind of make sense.

Tristar misled consumers by expressly representing that the pressure cookers could not be opened while the contents remained under pressure when, in fact, the lid could be rotated only using normal force while the unit remains pressurized, in direct contradiction to the representations.

They also represented that the lids of the pressure cookers could not be removed with the contents under

pressure, when, in fact, they can be opened with the contents under pressure.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:03:15

10:03:18

10:03:20

10:03:23

10:03:26

10:03:32

10:03:34

10:03:38

10:03:41

10:03:45

10:03:48

10:03:51

10:03:55

10:04:02

10:04:08

10:04:10

10:04:11

10:04:15

10:04:19

10:04:20

10:04:24

10:04:28

10:04:29

10:04:31

10:04:34

And then his opinion that you'll hear him render:

It's my conclusion within a reasonable degree of scientific and engineering certainty that all models of Tristar's Power Pressure Cooker XLs are unreasonably dangerous.

Well, why is it we can say all models? They all have the exact same design and manufacturing specifications. If you get one of these -- you could get one right here in Cleveland, Ohio, and you could get another one in Colorado, you could get another one in Pennsylvania. They're all designed to be the exact same. That's why we can say that.

With regard to that testing, as I mentioned earlier,

Mr. Mattice -- and I keep -- I don't know if I'm

mispronouncing his name, whether it's Mattice or Mattice.

I've talked to him before, but I just don't remember.

The methods of the pressure values Dr. Pratt reports are accurate to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty. That's what he says.

Well, here is Tristar's response. So you've heard, you know, why we're here, what we're bringing this case to you for.

Tristar completely denies the failure of their lid safety device, and they blame the victims.

Well, what do you mean they blame the victims?

What you're going to hear their expert say, 1 10:04:37 Mr. Mattice, is that Ms. Vennel, Mr. Jackson and Mr. Chapman 2 10:04:40 all spilled the contents on themselves. 3 10:04:44 The problem with that is when the contents exploded, 10:04:46 4 as you'll hear from Ms. Vennel, the contents were so hot and 10:04:50 exploded so badly that there was warping on her cabinetry 10:04:56 6 10:05:01 7 from it. Because it also hit her as well as other areas in 10:05:04 the kitchen and went up to the roof. Mr. Jackson, when he opened the lid as he normally 9 10:05:07 did, the contents were still under pressure, unknown to him. 10 10:05:10 The contents blew out all over his face and body and went up 11 10:05:13 12 to the ceiling. 10:05:19 Mr. Chapman, the contents -- the same way. He opened 13 10:05:22 14 it normally. They blew out all over him, his torso and 10:05:24 10:05:30 15 body, and up to the ceiling. 16 I don't understand Mr. Mattice when he says they 10:05:31 spilled it on themselves. What did they do, throw it up to 17 10:05:33 18 the ceiling too? 10:05:37 Those are the allegations made by Tristar. 19 They're 10:05:37 going to blame the victim. That's what they do. Don't fall 10:05:39 20 for that kind of reasoning. 21 10:05:46 10:05:46 22 The other thing Tristar says -- and that's that top 23 bullet point -- well, they claim, okay, even if there is a 10:05:50 defect, they still have value from use either before the 24 10:05:54

episode happened or they fail from -- or they can use some

25

10:05:58

other features on the pressure cooker.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:06:04

10:06:07

10:06:10

10:06:13

10:06:15

10:06:18

10:06:23

10:06:26

10:06:30

10:06:33

10:06:36

10:06:40

10:06:44

10:06:46

10:06:48

10:06:51

10:06:54

10:06:55

10:06:59

10:07:03

10:07:07

10:07:11

10:07:13

10:07:16

10:07:21

Now, let me explain that a little bit. To get around that these products are worthless, what Tristar is going to tell you is, well, you know, we don't think they're defective, but if they are defective, they do have value. They're going to say that because, gosh, Ms. Vennel used hers safely two times and then on -- or however many times it happened to be, and then the later time it exploded. So, hey, she must have received some value.

You can't fall for that. Why? Because these pressure cookers, because the design defect is present at the time of the design and manufacture, and at the point of sale, that's where the defect is present.

Now, the way it manifests itself, it's like playing Russian roulette. You don't know which time the gun with the bullet in it is actually going to trigger. You just don't know.

So that's why we say the product, as you'll hear from Dr. Pratt, is defective, because the lid design is all the same at the point of purchase. It's just a matter of when or if it manifests later on. But that's not the issue that you need to concern yourself about.

The intended purpose of a pressure cooker is not as a browner or as a slow cooker. It's to cook safely under pressure. It makes sense.

The next slide, please, Dawn. 1 10:07:23 Here are some other representations about the safety 2 10:07:26 3 of the Power Pressure Cooker XL. Don't just believe Greg. 10:07:31 This is what else is being said. 10:07:34 George Cruz is a Tristar employee. He says he's a 10:07:37 chef and product engineer behind the Power Pressure Cooker. 10:07:41 6 This is what Mr. Cruz says. And I really ask you to think 10:07:42 7 8 about this statement all throughout the trial. He's talking 10:07:46 about the safety features of the Power Pressure Cooker XL. 9 10:07:49 This is what he says. Not my words, his. 10 10:07:52 All these features are designed to make sure that 11 10:07:55 whether human error or mechanical malfunction occur using 12 10:07:58 13 the Power Pressure Cooker is always safe. 10:08:02 14 That's what they thought they were getting. 10:08:08 15 These representations are in addition to those we 10:08:11 16 talked about the owner's manual. This is Mr. Cruz, his own 10:08:14 self. 17 10:08:17 18 I may need some sound. Technical difficulty. Please 10:08:19 19 stand by. 10:08:26 If necessary, use the mic. 10:08:29 20 It worked before we started this morning. That's all 21 10:08:33 10:08:35 22 I can tell you, ladies and gentlemen. 23 If we can't get it, I'll just represent that that's 10:08:53 24 what he says. 10:08:57 25 MR. EDWARDS: It's feeding out of here but not 10:09:05

1 there. 10:09:08 MR. COLEMAN: I wouldn't even bother except 2 10:09:15 it's important. And, again, if we don't get it up, I'll 3 10:09:17 just read to you what it says. 10:09:22 I don't want to take up the Court and jury's time. 10:09:44 Are we able to get it or not? 10:09:48 6 MR. EDWARDS: It's not working. 10:09:50 7 10:09:53 8 MR. COLEMAN: Let me read to you what -again, the slide before that we talked about from Mr. Cruz, 9 10:09:56 10 a direct quote was as follows that I want you to really pay 10:09:59 attention to. 11 10:10:03 12 The gentleman you happened to see who was talking but 10:10:04 you didn't hear what he was saying, that's Mr. Cruz. And 13 10:10:08 14 I'm quoting this because, as you can imagine, if I didn't 10:10:11 15 quote it correctly, I would get an objection, number one. 10:10:16 10:10:17 16 And number two, the Court wouldn't let me do it. So this is what Mr. Cruz says yet again: All these 17 10:10:20 18 features are designed to make sure that whether human error 10:10:21 19 or mechanical malfunction occur using the Power Pressure 10:10:24 Cooker XL is always safe. 10:10:29 20 Now, what else do we know? You're going to hear from 21 10:10:32 10:10:38 2.2 this gentleman --23 No, wait. Go back, please, Dawn. 10:10:40 -- from this gentleman, whose name is Eric Theiss. 24 10:10:42 25 is the product spokesperson. 10:10:47

If we go to the next screen, this is what Mr. Theiss 1 10:10:49 is going to say. He's their -- you may have seen him on TV. 2 10:10:53 I don't know. He's on QVC, he's on some other channels, 3 10:10:57 marketing products for different companies. 10:11:01 What you'll hear about Mr. Theiss also is he makes a 10:11:03 percentage point or half of a percentage point on every 10:11:05 6 10:11:08 7 product of the Power Pressure Cooker units that he sells for 10:11:13 Tristar. So he has a vested interest in this product as you can image. The more he sells, the more money he makes. 10:11:18 9 But this is what Mr. Theiss says. When referring to 10 10:11:20 the lid, Eric Theiss states: You couldn't get this open if 11 10:11:22 12 10:11:27 10:11:31 13 14 10:11:33 10:11:37 15 show that these representations and warranties are false.

you wanted to. It's one of the safest ones you can get. Those are the things that you see on TV, the infomercials. And the evidence is just flat out going to

The lid can be opened with a dangerous amount of pressure inside, and we believe that's what you're going to find.

Why don't this matter? Reality.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

10:11:40

10:11:44

10:11:47

10:11:50

10:11:53

10:12:00

10:12:05

10:12:08

10:12:15

10:12:18

Ms. Vennel, I'm not going to show you the pictures, but were able to describe to you she had extensive burns on her right breast with some residual scarring, also some burns in other areas that you'll get to hear her describe.

Mr. Chapman, extensive burns on his face, torso, arms.

Mr. Jackson, all over his body, but specifically some very tough burns to his face that have thankfully healed,

but he had to go through the process.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:12:23

10:12:25

10:12:28

10:12:32

10:12:35

10:12:37

10:12:41

10:12:46

10:12:50

10:12:54

10:12:55

10:12:59

10:13:02

10:13:06

10:13:07

10:13:11

10:13:14

10:13:21

10:13:24

10:13:28

10:13:31

10:13:33

10:13:36

10:13:39

10:13:41

All of those incidents occurred because the Power Pressure Cooker still had pressure in it when the lid was opened, despite what all of these representations say.

That's the damage that can be done.

Why are you here? And I'm going to wrap up with this. You're here to sold Tristar accountable for selling a defective product. You're here to hold Tristar to their promise that even with human error, these lids will not come off while the units are under pressure. You're here to hold these units are worthless because it's unsafe and unfit for it's intended purpose as a pressure cooker. You're hear to give these three plaintiffs and the class members a refund of the purchase price.

And you're like, that's why I'm here? It's important. Number one, to make sure others don't have this exact same thing happen to them. That's why. You're not just speaking for these three, you'll be speaking for others.

So at the end of the case -- I'm going to sit down and shut up, and you'll probably be saying thank you. At the end of the case, after all the evidence -- and I want you to listen to all of it. You're going to hear our side, you're going to hear their side, but at the end of the case I'm going to come back to you.

And I'm going to say, number one, we've proven to you

there was a defect. Number two, I'm going to say we've
proven to you that they're worthless. And I'm going to ask
you to come back with a verdict accordingly.

Thank you so much for your attention.

10:13:51

10:13:55

10:13:55

10:13:55

10:13:58

10:14:01

10:14:08

10:14:12

10:14:17

10:14:21

10:14:28

10:14:33

10:14:38

10:14:40

10:14:43

10:14:47

10:14:52

10:15:00

10:15:04

10:15:06

10:15:10

10:15:16

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Lewis.

OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENDANT

MR. LEWIS: May it please the Court, counsel, members of the jury, good morning.

April 9th of this year, I'm sitting on the floor of my house over the river in Tremont with my hair out to here.

My son's birthday present was a robotic arm. I'm trying to put it together. It's not working. I can't figure it out, and my brilliant son says to me, "Dad, read the instructions." Of course, I hadn't because I know everything. And when I did read the instructions, or frankly when Jamison read the instructions to me, the robotic arm worked just fine.

Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence is going to show in this case that when the instructions are followed, this cooker is a safe and valuable product.

Over 2 million people feeding their families, 2 million. Think of this.

Remember last year about this time we had a big parade in this city. We put a million folks here after the Cavs won the NBA championship. Double that. That's how many

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:15:22

10:15:25

10:15:26

10:15:30

10:15:36

10:15:43

10:15:49

10:15:52

10:15:55

10:16:00

10:16:04

10:16:08

10:16:11

10:16:14

10:16:17

10:16:21

10:16:25

10:16:29

10:16:33

10:16:38

10:16:43

10:16:47

10:16:54

10:16:57

10:17:01

folks are feeding their families with this cooker. Safety and value.

The evidence is going to show that this expert,

Dr. Pratt, from California, who appears to have a fantastic resumé, was unable to replicate, duplicate, simulate, recreate, what the plaintiffs describe happened to them in this case. Unable to do it.

At the end of this trial, we're going to ask you to apply these everyday concepts - safety, value, but, most importantly, common sense - and find for Tristar.

As you know, I'm John Lewis. You're going to see a handful of us working hard to make sure that the presentation to you is efficient and as short as possible. But this case is important to Tristar. You will see in the back of the courtroom, we've already introduced Keith Mirchandani, the CEO, the founder of the Tristar, a 25-year company. Employs over 100 people and contracts with a thousand other United States employees, keep them busy.

You'll see Matt Fisher, Alex Lozano, Jim Myers, other folks from Tristar. This is an important case for them.

Over 25 years ago, Mr. Mirchandani started this company in his parents' garage. He works with people who have ideas, like the idea for the Power Pressure Cooker XL, to bring it to the market in the United States.

And what you're going to hear, and you'll get this

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:17:04

10:17:11

10:17:19

10:17:23

10:17:27

10:17:32

10:17:37

10:17:40

10:17:45

10:17:48

10:17:51

10:17:58

10:18:04

10:18:05

10:18:08

10:18:11

10:18:16

10:18:20

10:18:24

10:18:25

10:18:30

10:18:34

10:18:41

10:18:45

10:18:54

testimony from Mr. Fisher, Matt Fisher, that the best United States brands sell this cooker.

The brands that we all trust, Bed Bath & Beyond,

Kohl's, Amazon -- in fact, I think Amazon Prime Day is

tomorrow; I read all about it in the news -- QVC, Target,

sell this pressure cooker. You don't get in those stores,

Mr. Fisher will tell you, without a good and valuable

product. You don't stay in those stores without a good and valuable product.

Talk a little bit about what this pressure cooker can do. First of all, beyond making food with its pressure cooker function, it's a slow cooker, you can saute food, you can do canning.

Why is that important? Because as you heard

Mr. Coleman say, his view and the view of the plaintiffs is
that this is worthless. It's not worthless even if the
pressure cooker feature doesn't work. This is a multicooker
with lots of value to 2 million people feeding their
families in the United States today.

Why is this -- why do we know this is a high quality product? You're going to hear from Mr. Lozano about the certifications and the compliance. He's going to come and testify about what Tristar did to make sure this product is safe. ISO, European standards, UL, American National Standards Institute, all of the things that are required to

bring a product like this to the United States were fulfilled.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

10:18:56

10:18:59

10:19:00

10:19:03

10:19:08

10:19:12

10:19:15

10:19:17

10:19:21

10:19:24

10:19:27

10:19:31

10:19:37

10:19:41

10:19:46

10:19:50

10:19:54

10:19:59

10:20:02

10:20:04

10:20:07

10:20:10

10:20:15

10:20:19

10:20:23

And you'll see it right on the back when you get a chance to look at the cooker. You'll see all these certifications. You don't get to put this on your cooker unless you pass all of these tests. And that's what Mr. Lozano will talk about.

There's also an extensive quality assurance process.

And this is just a high level summary. You're going to hear about Mr. Lozano talk about all of the things that are done to this cooker before it's allowed to be put on the shelves at Target, Bed Bath & Beyond, Kohl's.

Not only do we validate the design from the supplier, we get regulatory approval. We do testing on specific devices. And for each lot of devices that is brought to market to the United States, specific cookers are taken off the line and vigorously tested to make sure that they comply, that the lid stays on, that they comply with the all the design specs.

Additionally, every single one of these cookers is tested individually before it's allowed to go on a shelf in the United States at a Kohl's or a Target. You can't get that if there's a problem with the device.

Thank you.

Back to the owner's manual. Now, I noticed

Mr. Coleman put on some pages of the manual but not all of 1 10:20:28 them. So let's take a look at some of the pages of this 2 10:20:32 3 manual. 10:20:38 On the very front page, the bottom left-hand corner. 10:20:38 4 Important: Do not use this pressure cooker until you have 10:20:45 read the entire manual thoroughly. 10:20:50 6 Do not use it until you've read the entire manual 10:20:54 7 10:21:02 8 thoroughly. 9 Page 6. Read and follow all instructions carefully. 10:21:03 10 Of course. It's a pressure cooker. They have hot 10:21:07 liquids in it. Always be careful. When I boil water to 11 10:21:10 make hardboiled eggs, I should be careful. When I use a 12 10:21:14 toaster, I should be careful. I should make sure I know how 13 10:21:18 14 to use it. 10:21:22 Extreme caution must be used when moving the 10:21:25 15 16 appliance, the cooker. It has hot liquids in it. 10:21:29 This appliance cooks under pressure. Improper use may 17 10:21:32 18 result in scalding injury. 10:21:37 Here's the key: Do not open the Power Pressure Cooker 19 10:21:40 20 XL until the unit has cooled and all internal pressure has 10:21:47 been released. 21 10:21:51 10:21:53 2.2 Right here. This is the valve. All internal pressure 23 has been released. 10:21:59 If the unit is difficult to open, if the unit. 24 10:22:03 25 has no pressure in it. That's locked. 10:22:10

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:22:19

10:22:27

10:22:34

10:22:39

10:22:46

10:22:51

10:22:55

10:22:59

10:23:03

10:23:07

10:23:11

10:23:15

10:23:20

10:23:22

10:23:28

10:23:29

10:23:32

10:23:40

10:23:43

10:23:47

10:23:51

10:23:55

10:24:01

10:24:09

10:24:20

If this is hard to open, it indicates that the cooker is still pressurized. Do not force it open.

And, oh, just in case you didn't read that, in bold caps: Never force open the Power Pressure Cooker XL. Never force open the Power Pressure Cooker XL.

Why am I repeating myself on this? Why am I continuing to say, don't force open the Power Pressure Cooker XL? Because you're going to see in this case that every single test that fancy expert from California did violated that instruction right there. Never force open the Power Pressure Cooker XL. Every single test that he did, he violated that instruction. That's what the evidence is going to show.

When you follow the instructions, this product is safe and valuable.

Can I see that next slide?

Hot lid warning: Use the black composite handle -- do you see how easy this is to open? This is it. Every single time that you see someone from Tristar or a representative from Tristar opening this lid after a cook cycle has been completed, that's what they're doing. That's how easy it is to open. Use this handle only when you open the lid.

This stuff down here, it's hot. Start putting your hands around here, it's hot, of course. Use this to open.

Press down gently on the lid and turn clockwise until

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:24:25

10:24:33

10:24:36

10:24:39

10:24:44

10:24:47

10:24:52

10:24:55

10:24:57

10:25:01

10:25:07

10:25:11

10:25:17

10:25:20

10:25:24

10:25:31

10:25:36

10:25:44

10:25:49

10:25:54

10:25:58

10:26:01

10:26:04

10:26:07

10:26:10

it meets resistance. This will not pressurize, will not pressurize unless it's locked. If you don't have this locked, you cannot pressurize it. Pressure will not build on this. It has to be in the locking position before it pressurizes. When the pressure is released, it opens. It's that simple.

This is the warranty. You're going to hear about a breach of warranty in this case. That's what the plaintiffs are claiming. This is the warranty.

Number 2. The warranty extends to the original consumer. This warranty is void if the product has been subject to accident, misuse, abuse, improper maintenance or repair, or unauthorized modification.

Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you that every test that was run by Dr. Pratt voided the warranty. He abused it, he misused it, and he made unauthorized modifications to run this test. That's a fact. That's a fact.

So why are we here? We need your help to solve a dispute. That's the great thing about the American jury system. You folks get to decide. Judge Gwin will at the end of the case read some instructions, some law. You get to take what you heard, the evidence that you're allowed to use, and you get to make the decisions. That's all in your hands. That's the great thing about this jury system.

And while the plaintiffs say, well, we were injured

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:26:15

10:26:19

10:26:22

10:26:27

10:26:32

10:26:36

10:26:39

10:26:44

10:26:47

10:26:51

10:26:52

10:26:56

10:27:00

10:27:04

10:27:09

10:27:14

10:27:20

10:27:25

10:27:31

10:27:35

10:27:36

10:27:41

10:27:46

10:27:53

10:27:57

and so on behalf of the class, these pressure cookers, which are feeding 2 million families in the United States, are worthless, we say, not so fast. We say, if you can't replicate, duplicate, or recreate what the plaintiffs say happen, then you don't have a theory in this case of defect. You can't show a breach of warranty.

If you can't prove that every single person who has received a Power Pressure Cooker XL that's worthless to them, you can't prove your case. We know for a fact they can't prove their case.

You're going to hear from chef Eric Theiss.

Mr. Coleman mentioned him earlier. He's going to say, hey,

I've used this thing a thousand times. He's going to say, I

tested the features myself. I've let my friends' kids use

this cooker. He's going to show you that when it's used as

intended, it's a safe and valuable product.

I'm going to end with this, ladies and gentlemen. I don't normally do this in opening statements. Frankly, most people would say, are you crazy? But this is what I'm going to do.

I had the company make a safe box. I'll show it to Dr. Pratt out in the hallway. I got a factory cooker right off the line. Show me that if you follow the instructions, that if you follow these instructions, that lid blows off that cooker like the plaintiffs describe. Go ahead. Follow

10:28:00	1	the instructions and show me that that lid blows off that
10:28:04	2	cooker like the plaintiffs say. They're not going to do it.
10:28:10	3	And at the end of the case when they haven't done it,
10:28:13	4	I'm going to ask you to find for Tristar. Thank you.
10:28:17	5	Thank you, Your Honor.
10:28:17	6	THE COURT: Would you call your first witness.
10:28:21	7	MR. COLEMAN: Yes, Your Honor. We'll call
10:28:25	8	Dr. John Pratt.
10:28:43	9	(Witness sworn.)
10:28:48	10	THE COURT: Please take a seat. Get close to
10:28:50	11	the microphone. Once you get there, tell us your name and
10:28:53	12	tell us how you spell your last name.
10:28:58	13	THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is John Dillworth
10:29:01	14	Pratt. And my last name is spelled P-R-A-T-T.
10:29:06	15	THE COURT: Counsel.
10:29:06	16	MR. COLEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:29:06	17	JOHN D. PRATT, Ph.D.
10:29:06	18	
10:29:10	19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10:29:10	20	BY MR. COLEMAN:
10:29:11	21	Q Dr. Pratt, you just introduced yourself to the Court
10:29:14	22	and to the jury. Can you just give the Court and jury an
10:29:19	23	overview of your educational background because I want to
10:29:24	24	cut to the chase with respect to
10:29:27	25	Okay. We need to hit the screen.

10:29:31	1	with respect to I think Mr. Lewis referred to
10:29:34	2	you as the fancy expert from California. But let's make
10:29:37	3	sure the jury understands you're qualified and give your
10:29:40	4	background with respect to your CV.
10:29:42	5	A Yes. Well, I pretty much grew up in the fastener
10:29:47	6	industry, fasteners, latches, things that need to lock. I
10:29:51	7	have a bachelor of science degree from California State
10:29:54	8	University, a bachelor of science degree from California
10:29:58	9	State University [sic]. Both of those are mechanical
10:30:00	10	engineering, having to do with mechanisms and things like
10:30:02	11	that. And a Ph.D. in structural mechanics, civil
10:30:08	12	engineering from the University of California.
10:30:10	13	So I spent my entire career, basically in aerospace.
10:30:14	14	I did some sporting goods for a few years when aerospace was
10:30:18	15	down, some automotive, white goods, like, you know, washing
10:30:24	16	machines and lawnmowers and things like that. Fasteners go
10:30:27	17	into just about everything, but primarily aerospace.
10:30:30	18	I left industry in 2005, pretty much retired, to go
10:30:34	19	into private practice as a consulting engineering. So since
10:30:37	20	2005, I do engineering consulting. I have clients in
10:30:44	21	France, Los Angeles, in Asia. And then I also do litigation
10:30:48	22	consulting, this type of work, products liability and patent
10:30:51	23	infringement.
10:30:52	24	Q And we see it there on our screen, Dr. Pratt.
10:30:55	25	So around 2005 you formed Argos Engineering out in

1 California? 10:31:01 That's correct. 2 10:31:01 And you've talked about your background. One of the 3 10:31:02 things that did interest me just from a pure interest 10:31:08 4 standpoint is, tell us about the fasteners, the pressure 10:31:12 5 fasteners that you developed and invented that go on these 6 10:31:15 airplane cockpit doors. 10:31:19 7 10:31:22 8 Well, I was working for Hartwell Aerospace at the time. And Hartwell is one of the largest manufacturers of 9 10:31:25 latching mechanisms, you know, the latches that keep the 10 10:31:29 engine nacelles closed or that are used for the overhead 11 10:31:31 bins on airplanes, access doors. 12 10:31:36 And prior to 9/11, it was -- you know, some of the 13 10:31:39 14 narrow body airplanes have decompression panels in the 10:31:43 10:31:48 15 flight deck door, so that if the window blows out in the 16 airplane, these panels are supposed to open up to equalize 10:31:51 the pressure between the cabin and the cockpit. If you 17 10:31:54 didn't do that, that forward bulkhead would crash inward and 18 10:31:57 probably destroy some of the flight controls. But, 19 10:32:01 20 unfortunately, they needed to open up under a very small 10:32:05 differential pressure. 21 10:32:08 10:32:09 22 Prior to 9/11, you could walk up to those cockpit 23 doors and just palm the panels open, reach in and undo the 10:32:11 24 doorknob. The terrorists didn't need box knives to get in, 10:32:15 25 or keys. 10:32:21

10:32:22	1	So after 9/11, my team and I came up with mechanical
10:32:26	2	decompression latches that sensed a rapid decompression
10:32:30	3	event. It would automatically unlock the door. Had to do
10:32:34	4	that in about 4 milliseconds. And those ended up on all of
10:32:38	5	the Boeing and Embraer and Bombardier single-aisle
10:32:41	6	airplanes, some of the old Douglas legacy airplanes, about
10:32:44	7	half the world's fleet of aircraft. And they're still using
10:32:45	8	them today, now 16 years later.
10:32:51	9	Q Dr. Pratt, with respect to your background and the
10:32:56	10	credentials you've talked to us, does it give you an ability
10:32:59	11	to do the testing and to form the opinions that you're going
10:33:02	12	to give here today?
10:33:03	13	A Yes, it does.
10:33:05	14	Q I want to move on to another area.
10:33:12	15	MR. COLEMAN: And what I would like to do,
10:33:12	15 16	MR. COLEMAN: And what I would like to do, Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me
10:33:14	16	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me
10:33:14	16 17	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me ask this of Dr. Pratt before I go any further.
10:33:14 10:33:19 10:33:24	16 17 18	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me ask this of Dr. Pratt before I go any further. Q Dr. Pratt, just like Mr. Mattice on the defense end,
10:33:14 10:33:19 10:33:24 10:33:27	16 17 18 19	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me ask this of Dr. Pratt before I go any further. Q Dr. Pratt, just like Mr. Mattice on the defense end, you get paid for your services in cases like this?
10:33:14 10:33:19 10:33:24 10:33:27 10:33:29	16 17 18 19 20	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me ask this of Dr. Pratt before I go any further. Q Dr. Pratt, just like Mr. Mattice on the defense end, you get paid for your services in cases like this? A That's correct.
10:33:14 10:33:19 10:33:24 10:33:27 10:33:29 10:33:31	16 17 18 19 20 21	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me ask this of Dr. Pratt before I go any further. Q Dr. Pratt, just like Mr. Mattice on the defense end, you get paid for your services in cases like this? A That's correct. Q Unfortunately, you don't give any freebies to lawyers.
10:33:14 10:33:19 10:33:24 10:33:27 10:33:29 10:33:31 10:33:33	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Your Honor, if that's okay with the Court well, let me ask this of Dr. Pratt before I go any further. Q Dr. Pratt, just like Mr. Mattice on the defense end, you get paid for your services in cases like this? A That's correct. Q Unfortunately, you don't give any freebies to lawyers. We have to pay when we use services of folks, right?

10:33:44	1	With respect to some statements Mr. Lewis made in his
10:33:48	2	opening statement, it sounds like instead of trying the
10:33:51	3	plaintiffs, he's going to try you.
10:33:53	4	His first comment was or not his first but
10:33:56	5	somewhere, and it was, you're going to see or hear that
10:34:00	6	Dr. Pratt didn't try to recreate what the plaintiffs did
10:34:03	7	with respect to how they opened their pressure cookers.
10:34:07	8	Can you explain to the Court and jury what you did do
10:34:10	9	and why you didn't try to do that?
10:34:12	10	A Well, yes. I wasn't asked to try to do an accident
10:34:16	11	reconstruction. I was simply asked to inspect the three
10:34:22	12	accident units and some similar exemplars and see if I could
10:34:27	13	identify a defect in the design or manufacturing that would
10:34:31	14	allow that lid to come off under pressure. I mean, it's
10:34:35	15	pretty obvious that if there's significant pressure inside,
10:34:38	16	it's going to blow that lid off and probable cause some
10:34:42	17	damage.
10:34:42	18	So I was tasked with finding out what those levels of
10:34:46	19	pressure were, whether a person could easily remove that lid
10:34:50	20	under pressure and look for any other defects that might
10:34:53	21	have contributed to the injuries to the class plaintiffs in
10:34:57	22	this case.
10:34:57	23	Q Right.
10:34:58	24	You weren't asked by me to try to go recreate what

Mr. Jackson did or Mr. Chapman did or Ms. Vennel did,

10:35:03 25

10:35:08	1	because there's really no way to do that, is there, sir?
10:35:11	2	A Well, yes. I wasn't asked to do that, and I wouldn't
10:35:15	3	know about how to do it in the first place.
10:35:18	4	Q Right. I mean, what your sole job was to do was to
10:35:21	5	see if these units complied with the warranties about the
10:35:24	6	fact that they could never be opened under pressure and
10:35:28	7	whether that was true or not?
10:35:29	8	A That's correct.
10:35:30	9	${f Q}$ Okay. So was Mr. Mattice with you at the time that
10:35:37	10	the two of you tested all three of these units?
10:35:40	11	A Yes. I had tested the Chapman unit at my home office
10:35:46	12	before I sent them to the SEA labs. But once at the SEA
10:35:50	13	labs, then Mr. Mattice and I tested the other three units.
10:35:55	14	Actually, I did the testing on the Chapman to show how I
10:35:59	15	thought it should be done, and then Mr. Mattice tested the
10:36:08	16	Vennel and the Jackson units afterwards.
10:36:10	17	Q So you were there, Mr. Mattice was there, counsel for
10:36:12	18	plaintiff was there, and counsel for defendant was there?
10:36:15	19	A That's correct.
10:36:16	20	Q And there were certain conditions given for the test.
10:36:20	21	In fact, in a few minutes we'll look at some video clips.
10:36:24	22	And the thing is, you won't hear any sound on those clips,
10:36:29	23	will you, sir?
10:36:30	24	A I don't think so.
10:36:30	25	Q And that's because we wanted the jury to understand

10:36:34	1	and not hear any discussions between the parties that might
10:36:37	2	not be admissible so that they could see how the testing was
10:36:41	3	actually performed without comment; is that right?
10:36:43	4	A That's right.
10:36:44	5	MR. COLEMAN: Okay. Your Honor, can I have
10:36:46	6	Dr. Pratt come down here to do a little show and tell with
10:36:49	7	the juror?
10:36:52	8	THE COURT: Yes. Just make sure you keep your
10:36:55	9	voice up.
10:36:57	10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10:36:59	11	BY MR. COLEMAN:
10:37:00	12	Q Okay. Dr. Pratt, would you just turn to the jury and
10:37:04	13	tell them which units we have here in front of us as shown?
10:37:08	14	A Yes. Well, we have the two 6-quart units, Chapman and
10:37:14	15	Vennel. These are actually made by different manufacturers
10:37:16	16	in China. One of these is a PPC770 and the other is the
10:37:21	17	770-1. But otherwise they're identical. You can't tell the
10:37:25	18	difference between them.
10:37:26	19	This is the 780. This is the 8-quart unit that
10:37:31	20	belonged to Mr. Jackson.
10:37:33	21	Q All right. So far what I want you to do for the jury
10:37:37	22	and help them understand when we're talking about whether
10:37:40	23	pressure is still present in the unit while you're trying to
10:37:43	24	open it, did you undertake to do some testing with respect
10:37:47	25	to the Chapman unit?

10:37:49	1	A Yes. Let me walk you through how these locking
10:37:55	2	features work.
10:37:57	3	What I was doing was inspecting to see whether this
10:37:59	4	locking feature was effective in preventing this lid from
10:38:03	5	coming off. This is a screen designed to help prevent food
10:38:08	6	debris from getting up here and clogging the works. I'm
10:38:13	7	going to leave this out for the demonstration but
10:38:22	8	(Reporter interjection.)
10:38:22	9	Q Dr. Pratt, so that the court reporter and the jury can
10:38:27	10	hear you, we're going to ask you to have a mic attached to
10:38:31	11	your lapel on your jacket.
10:38:44	12	A So this is the lid. I'm looking at the Jackson unit.
10:38:48	13	The lid and the base
10:38:53	14	Q You can move them around.
10:38:55	15	A If you notice on the base, there's a series of
10:38:58	16	protruding lugs. There's six of these around the periphery.
10:39:02	17	And there are some mating lugs on the lid with gaps. So
10:39:10	18	when this goes on, these lugs go through the gaps. And when
10:39:17	19	I rotate it, now the lugs become overlapped so that I can't
10:39:22	20	get the lid off. This one being the lid, I just can't get
10:39:25	21	it past there without breaking some metal.
10:39:31	22	So the idea is that once I get into this position, and
10:39:33	23	only in this position, should the contents pressurize. So
10:39:37	24	to do that, we have what's called the locking pin. That's
10:39:41	25	that little piece here. You see it going in and out? And

10:39:46 1 it goes out a lot.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:39:49

10:39:53

10:39:57

10:39:57

10:40:02

10:40:05

10:40:11

10:40:16

10:40:22

10:40:23

10:40:28

10:40:32

10:40:37

10:40:38

10:40:40

10:40:42

10:40:45

10:40:48

10:40:51

10:40:56

10:41:01

10:41:02

10:41:09

10:41:16

This little locking pin is connected to a sheet metal piece inside the lid. It's actually about the shape of my finger.

So I've got the pin coming out here. Sheet metal rides up into the lid. And over here there's a hole in the top of that sheet metal piece. This float metal on the bottom, pressure will push that up through that hole but only if the lid is fully locked such that this pin is retracted.

Now, that hole is aligned with the float valve and it will pressurize. If it's in that position, they're not aligned, so the float valve cannot go up, it cannot pressurize. So Tristar is right when they say that this thing cannot pressurize unless the lid has been rotated to the fully locked position.

At that point, if I plug it in, I've got food in the unit, I plug it in, the float valve will go up through that hole, and that should be it. It's like a deadbolt. I shouldn't be able to rotate that lid at all. According to Tristar, that's what prevents the lid from opening when it's pressurized.

However, if I artificially extend that through the hole, you can see it's not very effective. The deadbolt doesn't work.

10:41:20	1	Q And you're not saying that the plaintiffs in this case
10:41:22	2	did that or that has anything to do with it. You're just
10:41:25	3	giving an example of what could happen?
10:41:27	4	A I'm giving an example of what could happen.
10:41:31	5	So there are actually two things that make it
10:41:34	6	difficult to open the lid when it's pressurized. One is the
10:41:37	7	action of that deadbolt. That's through that strike plate
10:41:40	8	so that pin can't move in and out.
10:41:43	9	And the other is there's going to be some natural
10:41:47	10	friction between these locking lugs and these locking lugs,
10:41:50	11	because pressure is trying to push this lid up and so
10:41:54	12	there's going to be a little friction there.
10:41:55	13	The fact is that the deadbolt doesn't work, at least
10:41:59	14	not well enough to keep the lid from opening.
10:42:03	15	Q Is that what you're telling the Court and jury the
10:42:07	16	defect actually is, Dr. Pratt, and can you describe it in
10:42:10	17	detail for us?
10:42:11	18	A I thought I just did.
10:42:13	19	Q Just kind of encapsulate it because we're not
10:42:16	20	engineers. That's what I mean.
10:42:17	21	A The fact is that this locking feature doesn't work.
10:42:21	22	It's just ineffective.
10:42:23	23	And I was asked during my deposition why it wasn't
10:42:26	24	effective. And my answer was that, well, the dimensions and
10:42:30	25	the features that make up this locking mechanism are such

that it's just ineffective. 1 10:42:35 This sheet metal piece, this pin it's connected to, 2 10:42:37 it's -- I guess it's about the shape of my finger, it's just 3 10:42:41 too flexible. It's about 2 millimeters thick, which is a 10:42:45 little more than a 16th of an inch. And as I rotate this 10:42:50 lid, this portion of the locking pin rides like a camel on 10:42:54 6 10:43:00 7 this outer surface, and it just bends that little sheet 10:43:05 8 metal piece. It's not stiff enough. And is that design and defect present on all of the 9 10:43:08 770, 770-1, the 780, and the 790 series pressure cookers? 10 10:43:14 Yes. The design is common between all the XL models. 11 Α 10:43:21 12 About the only thing that changes is as these models get 10:43:25 13 bigger, that the -- you know, of course the diameter of the 10:43:28 pot gets larger, so the locking lugs are a little bit larger 10:43:31 14 15 and the lids are a little bit larger. But the locking 10:43:35 16 feature itself is identical across all the models. 10:43:39 And are they like that at the point of sale, meaning 17 10:43:42 when Mr. Jackson, Mr. Chapman, and Ms. Vennel purchased 18 10:43:45 these units? 19 10:43:48 Yes. They're like that when they leave the factory in 20 10:43:50 21 China. 10:43:53 10:43:53 22 Q Okay. Is there any way for a consumer or a user to 23 know when they purchase these units that there's a defect 10:43:56 already present? 24 10:44:02 25 No, there's no way to know. Α 10:44:03

10:44:04	1	Q Continue staying here for just one more minute,
10:44:08	2	Dr. Pratt, in case.
10:44:09	3	When you tested and we saw this on the PowerPoint.
10:44:16	4	When you tested Kenneth Chapman's unit, there was some
10:44:20	5	mention about the lid being able to be rotated at 2.5 psi?
10:44:24	6	Can you explain those terms to us? You know, what is
10:44:27	7	psi, how is the pressure measured, and those sorts of
10:44:33	8	things?
10:44:33	9	A Yes. When I talk about pressure, I'm talking about
10:44:35	10	the pressure inside the unit that's above the pressure that
10:44:39	11	we have here. I mean, we have ambient pressure that's
10:44:43	12	around 14.7 pounds per square inch.
10:44:48	13	Q Is that present just in the courtroom?
10:44:50	14	A That's just everywhere, right. That's the average at
10:44:52	15	sea level, so which is actually the weight of 1 square
10:44:58	16	inch you know, we have a tube that's 1 inch square all
10:45:00	17	the way up to the outer space, that's how much that air
10:45:04	18	would weigh, 14.7 pounds.
10:45:07	19	But when we talk about pressure in relation to these
10:45:10	20	cases, I'm talking about the pressure above that that's
10:45:12	21	inside the unit. So if there's still pressure inside the
10:45:16	22	unit, you should be able to take it off and nothing
10:45:19	23	happened. Nothing's going to steam out.
10:45:19	24	But if I've got 2 or 3 or 4 psi, pounds per square
10:45:26	25	inch of pressure in the unit, when I open it if I go to

open it like this, where the pressure in there, there's
going to be a lot of steam, a lot of hot contents flying out
of there.

In fact, if I've got, say, 4 pounds of pressure in

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:45:39

10:45:44

10:45:50

10:45:56

10:45:58

10:46:00

10:46:08

10:46:11

10:46:16

10:46:20

10:46:24

10:46:27

10:46:34

10:46:39

10:46:40

10:46:44

10:46:47

10:46:50

10:46:54

10:46:57

10:47:01

In fact, if I've got, say, 4 pounds of pressure in there, 4 psi of pressure, and I open up the relief valve, it will sit there and steam for about 2 minutes. That's a lot of -- 4 psi pressure, for example, is a lot of compressed air inside this unit.

Q Let me ask you this: When you talk about pressure inside the unit, we discussed earlier the gauge readings inside the unit, for example, 2.5. What's that equivalent to if there's 2.5 psi of pressure remaining in the units when you go to open it? Give us an example.

A That's about 177 pounds. So at the testing that I did at my home office before we moved all the units over to the SEA lab, I was able to open it with 2 1/2 pounds of pressure in there, 2 1/2 pounds per square inch of pressure.

And I measured that pressure by removing this -- I did it the same way the manufacturer in China does and the way Tristar does it for their certification testing. I removed this pressure relief valve, and I put a little pressure -- steam pressure gauge on here instead in the same hole that goes down inside, and they tell me what the pressure was inside the unit. The same way the manufacturer does it. And at 2 1/2 psi, I was able to rotate that lid.

1	And when we moved these units to the SEA lab
2	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Tell the Court and jury who the SEA lab is so that we
3	understand the context.
4	A Yeah, I can't remember what the acronym stands for,
5	but it's a forensic engineering lab in Columbus, Ohio.
6	Q And that is where Mr. Mattice and you and the lawyers
7	were present to conduct the joint testing?
8	A Yes. SEA was engaged by the defendants in this case
9	to do an examination.
10	So at the SEA labs, we repeated I demonstrated how
11	I run the test at my home office, and we repeated those
12	steps on all three units at SEA. We made one minor change,
13	though.
14	When we run this test, when you're opening this thing
15	under pressure, if you go too far and open it, somebody is
16	going to get hurt. Okay?
17	When I was doing the test at home, what I did is I
18	started with that thing in the halfway position and closed
19	it to determine if I could rotate it under 2 1/2 psi of
20	pressure. Okay?
21	I would have been crazy to go this way and take it
22	off, ended up with severe burns.
23	So I made what I call a stop key. A stop key is just
24	a little piece of sheet metal. And by dropping that into
25	the unit, right there, in that little recess, now I can set
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

10:48:33	1	it to the fully closed position, plug it in, let it heat up,
10:48:37	2	and at various pressures that I see on my gauge, I can try
10:48:41	3	to rotate it until it rotates. But that little stop key
10:48:46	4	prevents it from going that extra half an inch and cause
10:48:50	5	this thing to blow up. Okay? That's what the stop key
10:48:54	6	does.
10:48:54	7	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And that was what was done at the joint testing
10:48:58	8	facility to make sure that when you and Mr. Mattice were
10:49:01	9	doing the testing, it didn't come open and blow all over
10:49:06	10	you?
10:49:07	11	A Right, so one of us didn't end up in the hospital as a
10:49:11	12	result.
10:49:11	13	Now, I know that the defendants in this case are going
10:49:12	14	to argue that the stop key prevented this thing, this
10:49:16	15	locking pin right here, from rising up to the maximum
10:49:19	16	outside diameter of this locking lug, which would have been
10:49:24	17	the greatest resistance, the most flexure of that strike
10:49:29	18	zone.
10:49:29	19	But that's not the case at all, because if I put that
10:49:32	20	in there, this thing is all the way out, as far as it goes.
10:49:37	21	At least a quarter of an inch of rotation before I even hit
10:49:41	22	the stop key, it's out. It's not moving at all. So I'm
10:49:46	23	already on the outside.
10:49:47	24	So the testing that we did at the SEA labs, when we
10:49:54	25	said we rotated it at, say, 3.8 psi, that was the maximum

10:50:00	1	resistance that we were going to see. And without that stop
10:50:03	2	key, it would have gone all the way.
10:50:05	3	Q And that would have caused it to open and spill the
10:50:09	4	super hot contents all over?
10:50:10	5	A I'd probably still be in the hospital.
10:50:13	6	MR. COLEMAN: Dawn, can we pull the video clip
10:50:16	7	of the testing done at SEA? We hope. Because this was the
10:50:23	8	joint testing done by Mr. Mattice and Mr. Pratt
10:50:30	9	Dr. Pratt.
10:50:37	10	And if we cannot get it, we will talk about it just a
10:50:42	11	little further and just explain to the jury what was done.
10:50:55	12	BY MR. COLEMAN:
10:50:56	13	Q Okay. Chapman SEA inspection. Here we go.
10:50:59	14	Now, Dr. Pratt, stand back here with me so you can
10:51:04	15	explain to the jury what we're seeing.
10:51:06	16	(Video clip played.)
10:51:06	17	A Yes, that's the Chapman unit. We've got the pressure
10:51:10	18	gauge on it. This is the same kind of pressure gauge used
10:51:13	19	by the manufacturer. And there I'm turning it. You see it
10:51:19	20	going back and forth up to the stop key.
10:51:22	21	And so I'll be able to rotate that lid at a pressure
10:51:26	22	of I think this was 3.8 pounds per square inch, almost 4
10:51:32	23	pounds per square inch.
10:51:34	24	Q Can you give us an idea so, for example, 2.5 psi,
10:51:38	25	what can that do to a 2-pound lid if it were to blow off?

10:51:45	1	What's the equivalent? How does that
10:51:45	2	A Well, this is almost 4 psi. So this is closer to
10:51:49	3	about 250 pounds of force. So if that lid came off, it's
10:51:53	4	like all of a sudden it weighs 250 pounds in the up
10:51:56	5	direction. It's going to blow out of my hands, break my
10:51:59	6	wrists, and expel some pretty hot contents.
10:52:03	7	Q And what's the point of doing this type of testing
10:52:06	8	with respect to the psi? I mean, what does this help us
10:52:09	9	determine? Does it help us determine that you, yes, in
10:52:15	10	spite of what Tristar says, you can take the lid off under
10:52:18	11	pressure?
10:52:19	12	MR. LEWIS: Objection. Leading.
10:52:20	13	THE COURT: Overruled.
10:52:22	14	BY MR. COLEMAN:
10:52:22	15	Q You can answer.
10:52:23	16	A Well, the whole idea is we want to see if we can
10:52:26	17	rotate the lid under pressure. We don't have a convenient
10:52:30	18	way to measure how much force we're putting on the periphery
10:52:34	19	of the lid, you know, the torque. It's hard to get a load
10:52:37	20	cell in there when you need that space for your hands. But
10:52:40	21	we can measure the pressure. So using the gauge, again,
10:52:44	22	just like the manufacturer uses and just like Tristar used
10:52:46	23	for certification, we can get an idea of what pressure that
10:52:50	24	thing is able to rotate.
10:52:52	25	If we couldn't rotate it until the pressure was nearly

10:52:56	1	zero, like .001 psi, big deal. Might get a little pop. So
10:53:01	2	what. But we know that when this thing is up above 2, 3,
10:53:07	3	4 psi, it's exceedingly dangerous. I mean, even at 2 1/2
10:53:11	4	psi, this lid is going to be expelled at, like, 50 feet a
10:53:18	5	second. And at 4 psi, I've got video on a similar type
10:53:22	6	unit. At 4 psi, I don't know if we're going to show it
10:53:25	7	today or not, but it makes a heck of a burn, a blast. The
10:53:30	8	lid, I had it in the cage, would have blown up at least 10
10:53:35	9	or 15 feet. And there was water steam and hot water all
10:53:42	10	over my garage when I ran this test.
10:53:47	11	So 4 psi is exceedingly dangerous, and I think even 2
10:53:52	12	1/2 or 2 psi is exceedingly dangerous.
10:53:55	13	Q All right. Let's go to the next clip of testing just
10:53:59	14	so the jury sees all three of these units that were tested
10:54:04	15	jointedly at SEA. And it may take just a moment.
10:54:10	16	MR. COLEMAN: The next PowerPoint video.
10:54:10	17	(Video clip played.)
10:54:13	18	Q Okay. This is the Jackson, ladies and gentlemen, you
10:54:16	19	see up in the left-hand corner.
10:54:18	20	And what do we have here? Is that Mr. Mattice?
10:54:20	21	A That's Mr. Mattice. And Jackson is the bigger unit,
10:54:23	22	the 8-quart unit.
10:54:26	23	Q And he's rotating at what psi?
10:54:29	24	A Well, he was able to rotate it at almost 4 1/2 psi.
10:54:35	25	Q And, again, 4 1/2 psi versus 0 to 0.1 psi, that means

10:54:45	1	there's no pressure in the unit, right?
10:54:46	2	A Right.
10:54:48	3	Q Okay. Let's go to the next clip, because I want the
10:54:52	4	jury to see the readings from all three pressure cookers.
10:54:55	5	So we've looked at Chapman. That was over 3.5 psi.
10:55:01	6	We've looked at Jackson, which was over 4 psi. And then now
10:55:06	7	we've got Vennel.
10:55:06	8	(Video clip played.)
10:55:10	9	Q Can you see in the top left-hand corner?
10:55:12	10	A When you watch these videos, you'll notice that the
10:55:15	11	hands are out here to try to turn it. That seems like a
10:55:21	12	natural place to put it. I mean, you can go this way. If
10:55:26	13	it's hard to turn, I think one is naturally going to go to
10:55:29	14	the outer periphery.
10:55:31	15	And if you notice the base unit handles are
10:55:36	16	conveniently in line with this handle. So you can kind of
10:55:39	17	leverage that lid bearing force against the base unit
10:55:46	18	handles and not have to worry about this thing twisting on
10:55:49	19	the tabletop.
10:55:50	20	${f Q}$ Now, is it your understanding that with respect to the
10:55:52	21	measurements you listed in your report and the amount of psi
10:55:56	22	that was in the units, Mr. Mattice agreed with those
10:56:00	23	measurements, correct?
10:56:01	24	A Yes.
10:56:02	25	Q All right. Can you go back up to the witness stand,

10:56:05	1	Dr. Pratt.
10:56:13	2	Now, during the testing that went on at SEA with you
10:56:18	3	and Mr. Mattice, did you ask him if he wanted to go ahead
10:56:20	4	and remove the stop key device and let the lid be able to be
10:56:26	5	rotated to fully off?
10:56:27	6	A Yes. Well, firstly, you know, I did the test on the
10:56:32	7	Chapman unit, showed him how it was done. He tested the
10:56:36	8	Vennel and the Jackson units himself, and he asked to use
10:56:40	9	the stop key device in both cases.
10:56:44	10	And I think it was on the Jackson unit when he had
10:56:46	11	rotated it with using two hands on the handle to the stop
10:56:52	12	key, I asked him if he would care to remove the stop key and
10:56:56	13	take it the rest of the way. And he just shook his head,
10:57:00	14	smiled and shook his head. It was wise.
10:57:09	15	Q All right. So, you know, again, this is we've got
10:57:10	16	to help ourselves, the Court, and the jury understand what
10:57:13	17	all of this means.
10:57:14	18	What is your understanding about Tristar's
10:57:17	19	representations with respect to whether there's any pressure
10:57:20	20	in the unit when the lid can be opened? What's your
10:57:23	21	understanding of that?
10:57:24	22	A Well, my understanding from the representations, the
10:57:27	23	videos, and the owner's manuals, that if there's any
10:57:32	24	pressure in the unit, it should not be it cannot be
10:57:34	25	opened.

10:57:38	1	Q And is that statement of Tristar consistent with the
10:57:40	2	testing that you and Mr. Mattice did?
10:57:43	3	A No, it's not.
10:57:44	4	Q And why is that?
10:57:45	5	A Well, because you can the safety feature doesn't
10:57:50	6	work. You can easily override it.
10:57:54	7	Q Now
10:57:55	8	A And by safety feature, I mean this locking pin.
10:57:59	9	Q And we saw it at the very end there, but for example,
10:58:05	10	for the Jackson unit, when Mr. Mattice was testing the unit
10:58:10	11	itself, was he able to move the lid with one hand on the top
10:58:16	12	of the lid?
10:58:17	13	A Yes. At a lower pressure, yes.
10:58:21	14	Q I think it does 3.24 psi sound accurate?
10:58:26	15	A It does, yes.
10:58:27	16	${f Q}$ Okay. So with respect to all three units let me
10:58:33	17	make sure that the Court and jury understands, all three
10:58:37	18	units, is the design common for all three for all three
10:58:42	19	class members and for all of the members of the class?
10:58:44	20	A It is.
10:58:46	21	${f Q}$ Is the design defect with respect to the locking lid
10:58:51	22	device, is it common to all of the units of the class reps
10:58:57	23	and all the class members that purchased these units within
10:59:01	24	the three states Colorado, Pennsylvania, and Ohio?
10:59:04	25	A It is.

10:59:07	1	Q Doctor, I'm going to ask you, those opinions that
10:59:11	2	you've just given the Court and jury about the defect and
10:59:15	3	that it was common to these units, was that opinion given
10:59:20	4	within a reasonable degree of professional and scientific
10:59:23	5	certainty?
10:59:24	6	A Absolutely.
10:59:26	7	Q Now, with respect to other things that you might be
10:59:35	8	asked about, both by me and by Mr. Lewis, is there a way to
10:59:43	9	make a fix for this?
10:59:46	10	Is there something you can do that Tristar knew or
10:59:49	11	should have known about that would have made this lid device
10:59:52	12	safer at the time they started selling them to the public?
10:59:55	13	A Yeah. There's at least one. I mean, I'm not in the
10:59:59	14	pressure cooker design business, but I was able to come up
11:00:02	15	with a fix that I had a prototype and it worked great.
11:00:06	16	$oldsymbol{Q}$ What was that? Explain it to the Court and jury.
11:00:09	17	A Well, as I mentioned when I was down on the floor, the
11:00:13	18	inner part of that locking pin rides along the locking lugs
11:00:16	19	on the base unit, almost like a cam surface, in and out.
11:00:22	20	And those lugs conveniently have little 45-degree ramps on
11:00:27	21	each side.
11:00:28	22	MR. COLEMAN: Can I approach, Your Honor?
11:00:33	23	A So these locking lugs, as you can see, have nice
11:00:36	24	little ramps so that this locking pin can easily ride up,
11:00:42	25	along, down, up, along, and down. Right?

So what I did on the prototype -- I don't know if we 1 11:00:47 have it here -- but instead of a 45-degree angle, I had my 2 11:00:52 machinist just cut that to a 90-degree angle, a square above 3 11:00:56 it, so when this pin came up in contact with it, it would 11:01:01 just stop. Put the lid on, it rides up the ramp, comes 11:01:06 down, falls down. And when you try to go back the other 11:01:09 6 11:01:14 7 way, it just buddied up against the square shoulder. 11:01:17 8 There's no way you can open it. In order to open it, you've got to pull this pin out. 9 11:01:21 So the pin on the unit was actually a little bit too short, 10 11:01:23 so we just put a plastic knob on the end. Now you can grab 11 11:01:27 12 it with your fingers. 11:01:31 So it had a couple of advantages. One is, the unit, 13 11:01:33 14 if you stuck a broom handle in here, you couldn't force the 11:01:35 11:01:39 15 thing open without breaking something physically. And 16 besides that, you still had to pull this out. If you had to 11:01:42 pull this pin out, use one hand to pull this pin out, you 17 11:01:44 18 can't be using two hands to try to open this thing up under 11:01:48 pressure. So it seemed to do the trick. 19 11:01:52 And is that the kind of thing that would have been 11:01:56 20 able to Tristar design engineers or anyone else at the time 21 11:02:00 11:02:02 22 these things were manufactured and then later purchased? 23 Oh, yeah. It's real simple. I mean, in terms of, if 11:02:05 anything, it might add a couple of pennies to the cost for 24 11:02:10 25 the plastic knob, but it's real simple mechanical concepts. 11:02:14

11:02:21	1	There's nothing magic there.
11:02:23	2	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And would it have made it safer than these units that
11:02:27	3	we have before us?
11:02:28	4	A Oh, absolutely, yes.
11:02:35	5	Q Now, I want to move for a minute to some other
11:02:46	6	questions about value.
11:02:53	7	You heard Mr. Lewis say in his opening statement,
11:03:01	8	well, this thing is a slow cooker, this thing can brown and
11:03:06	9	other things that he may have said that everybody can check
11:03:09	10	their memory on.
11:03:10	11	What is your understanding of the purpose of these
11:03:12	12	units?
11:03:12	13	A Well, the primary purpose is to shorten the cooking
11:03:17	14	time by being able to cook under pressure.
11:03:20	15	Q Right.
11:03:20	16	I mean, have you seen the advertisements on the boxes
11:03:23	17	and all over the lids that, you know, these things are the
11:03:30	18	power cooker, the Power Pressure Cooker XL? I mean, that's
11:03:33	19	how it's sold and marketed, right?
11:03:35	20	A Yes.
11:03:44	21	Q I want to ask you a few questions within a reasonable
11:03:48	22	degree of engineering certainty, because we've talked a
11:03:52	23	little bit about it before. But I want to make sure that
11:03:56	24	the jury understands what your opinions are in the case so
11:04:00	25	that they can consider it when they're deliberating.

11:04:05	1	Based upon your review of the Tristar owner's manual
11:04:09	2	that you've talked about, based upon your own testing and
11:04:13	3	the testing done with Mr. Mattice at SEA, and based upon
11:04:18	4	everything that you've reviewed in the case, I'm going to
11:04:21	5	ask you some opinions within a reasonable degree of
11:04:24	6	professional engineering certainty.
11:04:27	7	Can we agree to that, sir?
11:04:29	8	A Okay.
11:04:30	9	Q All right. In your opinion, are the Power Pressure
11:04:34	10	Cooker XLs, as they were designed, manufactured, and sold to
11:04:37	11	these three classes, are they unreasonably dangerous and
11:04:42	12	defective?
11:04:42	13	A They are.
11:04:43	14	Q Can you tell the Court and jury why?
11:04:46	15	A Well, yeah. Because they can be opened under
11:04:50	16	pressure, under dangerously high pressure.
11:04:52	17	Q Like you demonstrated for the jury earlier?
11:04:56	18	A Correct.
11:04:58	19	Q Also, within that same standard of a reasonable degree
11:05:01	20	of professional and engineering certainty, what can
11:05:03	21	happen and we can't get into injuries per se, but what
11:05:07	22	happens when the user is able to remove the lid while the
11:05:13	23	units are under pressure?
11:05:14	24	MR. LEWIS: Objection, Your Honor. That's
11:05:16	25	speculation. He's never done the test.

11:05:18	1	THE COURT: Overruled.
11:05:20	2	A Yeah. Well, I had done testing with these types of
11:05:24	3	units and the XL, that exemplar XL that I have, at low
11:05:30	4	pressures. And I know that the contents can spew out. I
11:05:34	5	know that there's a danger, especially at the higher
11:05:37	6	pressures, of wrist injury, either spraining the wrists or
11:05:42	7	breaking them.
11:05:43	8	I purchased protective equipment at my own cost so
11:05:48	9	that I could run tests under pressure for the XLs and for
11:05:52	10	some other models. But based on some low pressure testing,
11:05:58	11	I'm afraid to use it. Because while the protective
11:06:02	12	equipment I'm sure is going to protect me from steam and
11:06:05	13	burns, I'm afraid I'm going to snap my wrist. When I saw
11:06:08	14	that one pop off at 4 psi, you know, it forever cured me of
11:06:14	15	any aspirations to open one of these things by hand under
11:06:20	16	that high a pressure.
11:06:21	17	Q And so far as the user is concerned, and based upon
11:06:25	18	your testing and your review of the materials, what happens
11:06:27	19	to the fluid and the contents inside the units if you're
11:06:30	20	able to open the lid under pressure?
11:06:33	21	A Well, it comes out. I mean, the testing that I'd done
11:06:37	22	under pressure with, say, two cups of quarter on a 30-inch
11:06:42	23	counter height, it will go to a 9-foot ceiling easily. If
11:06:48	24	it were filled all the way up to full capacity, you know, it
11:06:54	25	would make an even bigger mess.

11:06:57	1	Q Are these power pressure cooker units defective and
11:07:01	2	unreasonably dangerous at the point of sale?
11:07:04	3	A Yes. They're defective when they leave the factory,
11:07:13	4	absolutely.
11:07:14	5	Q Now, with respect to these power pressure cookers, are
11:07:22	6	they unfit for use or for their intended purpose as a power
11:07:30	7	pressure cooker because of the defect?
11:07:33	8	A Yes, they are, because the defect makes them unsafe.
11:07:36	9	Q Now, Doctor, that's a good segue into the next
11:07:42	10	exhibit.
11:07:43	11	MR. COLEMAN: Dawn, let's get up those ethics
11:07:46	12	and canons, please, for engineers.
11:07:55	13	Q Doctor, is there a group that you and Mr. Mattice, at
11:07:58	14	least according to his resumé, are a member of with respect
11:08:01	15	to a society of engineers?
11:08:02	16	A Yes. We're both licensed professional engineers in
11:08:05	17	our respective states. I think Mr. Mattice is licensed in
11:08:10	18	several states. I'm licensed in California. Both as
11:08:14	19	mechanical engineers. And we both belong, to the best of my
11:08:19	20	knowledge, to the National Society of Professional
11:08:23	21	Engineers.
11:08:23	22	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And are you familiar with the code of ethics and the
11:08:27	23	canons of that particular society?
11:08:32	24	A Yes. I couldn't recite it here offhand, but, yes, I'm
11:08:35	25	familiar with it.

44 00 05	1	O The great thing is it!s wight in front of you there if
11:08:35	1	Q The great thing is it's right in front of you there if
11:08:40	2	you look at the screen.
11:08:40	3	A Actually, my screen doesn't work.
11:08:43	4	Q Oh, okay.
11:08:43	5	MR. COLEMAN: Any way to help us there?
11:08:52	6	THE COURT: Your screens are on, aren't they?
11:08:52	7	MR. COLEMAN: Yes. Your Honor, if that
11:08:53	8	doesn't work, can he just come down here with me?
11:08:56	9	THE COURT: Did you try turning the button
11:09:00	10	that says "on"?
11:09:02	11	THE WITNESS: Is there a button? Oh, yes.
11:09:07	12	Q We didn't say you're a software engineer.
11:09:11	13	A No, strictly mechanical.
11:09:14	14	Q That's okay, Doc. I'm right with you. That's why I
11:09:18	15	have an army of folks to help me not screw up so much.
11:09:22	16	All right. So, here's the Engineers' Creed. Is this
11:09:25	17	part of the document that we're going to make as an exhibit
11:09:29	18	to this case, Doctor?
11:09:30	19	A Yes. This is the Engineers' Creed for the National
11:09:36	20	Society of Professional Engineers.
11:09:38	21	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And part of the creed, and if you would, you pledged
11:09:41	22	to do a number of things, but to place service before
11:09:43	23	profit, the honor and standing of the profession before
11:09:46	24	personal advantage, and the public welfare above all other
11:09:50	25	considerations.

11:09:52	1	Is that something that as members of this society, you
11:09:54	2	and Mr. Mattice are supposed to hold to?
11:09:56	3	A That's right. I mean, not only as members of the
11:09:58	4	society, but they you know, they beat this in your head
11:10:01	5	all during engineering school.
11:10:03	6	Q All right. I'm going to show you another page from
11:10:05	7	that booklet or code of ethics.
11:10:12	8	Here, you see at the top left-hand corner, it says
11:10:16	9	National Society of Professional Engineers, Code of Ethics
11:10:19	10	for Engineers. In the preamble, can you just look there
11:10:23	11	with me. I'll read it and ask you some questions about it.
11:10:26	12	It says: Accordingly, the services provided by
11:10:30	13	engineers require honesty, impartiality, fairness and
11:10:35	14	equity, and must be dedicated to the protection of the
11:10:38	15	public health, safety and welfare.
11:10:41	16	Do you agree with that statement, Doctor?
11:10:43	17	A Absolutely, yes.
11:10:44	18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Is that part of not the only part, but is that part
11:10:46	19	of the basis of your opinion as to why these things are
11:10:49	20	unsafe, to protect the public welfare?
11:10:51	21	A Well, yeah, they're not protecting the public welfare
11:10:56	22	if they can be opened under pressure. They're unsafe.
11:10:56	23	Q And that's one of the reasons you're giving your
11:10:58	24	opinions in this case is to make sure that these kinds of
11:11:02	25	defective, unsafe products are known so that things can be

Pratt (Direct)

```
done about it?
         1
11:11:05
         2
              Α
                    Yes.
11:11:05
                    Now, let's look at another part underneath the
         3
11:11:07
              preamble. It will come up shortly.
11:11:13
                    It's under the Fundamental Canon section. And it
11:11:19
              says, I believe, right there: Engineers, in the
11:11:25
         6
11:11:32
         7
              fulfillment -- this is under the Canons -- of their
11:11:34
         8
              professional duties shall, not might, not kind of, shall
              hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the
         9
11:11:39
              public.
        10
11:11:43
        11
                    Is that accurate, sir?
11:11:44
                    It is.
        12
11:11:45
              Α
                    And is that something you strive to do?
11:11:45
        13
              Q
       14
                    It is.
11:11:48
              Α
       15
              Q
                    Now, I want to talk about another issue that Mr. Lewis
11:11:50
       16
             brought up, and that's the value of these units, even
11:11:56
              if -- even if it is defective as a pressure cooker, it's got
        17
11:12:00
       18
              other value. And I want to ask you a few questions about
11:12:04
       19
              that.
11:12:06
                    Since the defect, as you've talked about earlier, is
11:12:07
        20
        21
              unknown to the consumers when they purchase it, does it
11:12:20
11:12:26
        22
              matter, from your perspective as an engineer bound by those
        23
              ethics, that some folks who might have been able to use it
11:12:31
              safely a couple of times before the next time, like
        24
11:12:35
        25
              Ms. Vennel, Mr. Jackson, and Mr. Chapman, the unit exploded?
11:12:39
```

Pratt (Direct)

11:12:45	1	A No, it doesn't matter. I mean, it's unsafe from the
11:12:47	2	day it leaves the factory. It's like a ticking time bomb or
11:12:51	3	a Russian roulette. It may not erupt the first or the
11:12:55	4	second or the fourth time, but it can at any time.
11:12:58	5	Q All right. And that's a pretty high price to pay for
11:13:04	6	a 100 to \$160 product, isn't it, sir?
11:13:08	7	A Yes.
11:13:08	8	Q I mean, so far as it erupting all over you, causing
11:13:12	9	burns and other things to occur, right?
11:13:14	10	A Knowing that that can happen, you know, I wouldn't
11:13:17	11	have one of those in my house, right.
11:13:20	12	Q Now, again, from a value standpoint, is the primary
11:13:25	13	purpose of these things, a pressure cooker as opposed to a
11:13:29	14	browner or a slow cooker, based upon your review of the
11:13:33	15	marketing materials and the pressure cooker unit itself?
11:13:36	16	A Pressure cooking and pressure canning.
11:13:45	17	Q And are those your opinions as well within a
11:13:48	18	reasonable degree of professional and scientific certainty?
11:13:51	19	A They are.
11:13:52	20	MR. COLEMAN: One moment, Your Honor. I'm
11:13:54	21	almost done.
11:14:01	22	Just some housecleaning, Your Honor. I think we'll
11:14:04	23	introduce them all at the end, but I just wanted to make
11:14:08	24	them exhibits for the Court, the pressure cookers
11:14:12	25	THE COURT: I mean, you can reopen the case if

11:14:14	1	there's an admission issue.
11:14:15	2	MR. COLEMAN: Okay. All right. Your Honor,
11:14:17	3	just the Vennel, the Jackson, and the Chapman pressure
11:14:20	4	cookers into evidence along
11:14:22	5	THE COURT: Well, once again, you move the
11:14:24	6	admission at the close of your case.
11:14:26	7	MR. COLEMAN: Okay. Will do, Your Honor.
11:14:28	8	THE COURT: Okay.
11:14:38	9	MR. COLEMAN: The plaintiff rests for this
11:14:40	10	witness.
11:14:44	11	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
11:14:46	12	MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11:14:46	13	
11:15:03	14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11:15:03	15	BY MR. LEWIS:
11:15:05	16	Q Good morning, Dr. Pratt. How are you?
11:15:07	17	A Fine. Good morning.
11:15:08	18	Q We met today. My name is John Lewis. I represent
11:15:12	19	Tristar. You know that, right, sir?
11:15:13	20	A Yes, sir.
11:15:14	21	Q I have some questions for you today about your
11:15:17	22	testimony that you've given this morning. But I want to
11:15:21	23	start with before we switch, I want to start with this
11:15:28	24	number 5 here on code of ethics for engineers.
11:15:34	25	Engineers shall avoid deceptive acts. Right, sir?

11:15:39	1	A That's right.
11:15:40	2	Q Okay. You agree with that?
11:15:41	3	A I do.
11:15:42	4	Q Follow that?
11:15:42	5	A I do.
11:15:43	6	Q Okay. Finished with that.
11:15:46	7	MR. LEWIS: May we switch over to our side?
11:15:56	8	Q I notice, sir, what you didn't tell the jury in your
11:16:00	9	direct examination was that, in fact, you and Mr. Mattice
11:16:03	10	cooked hot water in all three of those without incident,
11:16:06	11	didn't you?
11:16:07	12	A Well, Mr. Mattice ran them through their normal cook
11:16:12	13	cycles, all the cook cycles, using two cups of hot water. I
11:16:17	14	didn't participate but I watched, yes.
11:16:20	15	Q You watched. And they worked as intended, correct?
11:16:23	16	A I beg your pardon.
11:16:24	17	Q They worked as intended, correct?
11:16:26	18	A Yes.
11:16:26	19	Q Okay. Now, how many people because I noticed you
11:16:32	20	picked the cooker up and you flipped it upside down.
11:16:38	21	How many people do you think cook using their cooker
11:16:41	22	upside down?
11:16:42	23	A Yeah. That wasn't intended to do that. I think that
11:16:45	24	would have been obvious to the jury as well as everybody
11:16:48	25	else in the courtroom.

11:16:49	1	Q Sure.
11:16:50	2	And my point is is that when you did the test on the
11:16:52	3	cooker turning it upside down, that's not how someone would
11:16:56	4	normally use the cooker. You agree with me on that?
11:16:59	5	A I didn't test the cooker upside down, sir.
11:17:02	6	Q Okay. When you turned the cooker upside down to raise
11:17:05	7	the float valve, you agree with me, that's not how normally
11:17:09	8	would use the cooker. Correct?
11:17:10	9	A And it wasn't intended to convey that impression at
11:17:13	10	all.
11:17:13	11	Q So you agree with me?
11:17:15	12	A I do.
11:17:15	13	Q All right. And as I understand it, you also used some
11:17:19	14	fondue sticks to play around with this float valve in your
11:17:22	15	testing; is that right?
11:17:22	16	A I used fondue sticks and plastic bowels and other
11:17:27	17	implements to pull that up to put it in position for various
11:17:31	18	testing protocols that I put it through.
11:17:33	19	Q Do you expect that consumers would take fondue sticks
11:17:37	20	or a bowel and stick it down into the float valve area to
11:17:41	21	pull it up when they're using it?
11:17:42	22	A Not unless they were trying to replicate my test
11:17:46	23	protocols.
11:17:46	24	Q Okay. They wouldn't use it if they were trying to
11:17:49	25	cook a meal?

11:17:50	1	A Oh, of course not.
11:17:52	2	Q All right. In fact, I guess let me ask you this:
11:17:57	3	When how many times did you cook a meal in one of these?
11:18:02	4	A I cooked a meal just one time as part of this case.
11:18:06	5	Q Right. You cooked a meal in the XL, right?
11:18:09	6	A Well, I have to think back or look at my notes. It
11:18:14	7	was either an XL or a WAL1.
11:18:16	8	Q Okay. Do you know for sure whether you cooked a meal
11:18:19	9	in the XL?
11:18:20	10	A As I sit here, I can't remember which one. It was
11:18:23	11	several months ago and it was a order of beans.
11:18:26	12	Q Did you eat it?
11:18:27	13	A No, I didn't like it. Beans need to be stirred
11:18:31	14	slowly, and this doesn't have a stirring mechanism in it.
11:18:34	15	Q Did you use the Power Pressure Cooker XL in its
11:18:38	16	canning feature? Did you test it for that?
11:18:41	17	A No, not for canning. I may have tested it on the
11:18:46	18	canning setting as part of my various pressure testing
11:18:52	19	protocols but not for canning.
11:18:53	20	Q Did you use it as a slow cooker?
11:18:56	21	A No.
11:18:56	22	Q Did you use it to saute anything as can be done?
11:19:02	23	A No.
11:19:02	24	Q Okay. You didn't test any of those features of this
11:19:07	25	XL, correct?

11:19:08	1	A Well, I tested other features, like keep warm and
11:19:12	2	tested it on various settings, like soups and stews and
11:19:17	3	played with changing the cook times and pressures. But the
11:19:21	4	only thing I actually cooked, other than water, was that one
11:19:25	5	bean recipe.
11:19:27	6	Q Okay. And those features worked well when you tested
11:19:30	7	the XL, right? It worked as intended, right?
11:19:33	8	A Yeah, the programming on the XL worked as intended,
11:19:37	9	yes.
11:19:37	10	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And it worked safely when you used it with the slow
11:19:41	11	cooker, with the soup, with the sauteing, whatever you used
11:19:47	12	it for it worked safely. Correct?
11:19:49	13	A As long as I didn't try to remove the lid under
11:19:52	14	pressure, it worked safely.
11:19:53	15	Q It worked safely, right?
11:19:55	16	A Yes.
11:19:56	17	Q Okay. Now, I noticed when you were describing to the
11:20:00	18	jury the lid use, you were holding it up here. Do you
11:20:05	19	remember that? Or maybe you didn't even realize it. But
11:20:10	20	you grabbed the lid up here when you were describing these
11:20:14	21	three to the jury.
11:20:15	22	Do you recall that?
11:20:16	23	A Okay. No.
11:20:17	24	Q Any reason to disbelieve that was your natural
11:20:20	25	tendency?

11:20:20	1	A No, that's fine.
11:20:21	2	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. In the testing that the jury saw, you didn't
11:20:26	3	grab it up here, did you?
11:20:29	4	A Well, trying to open it, no, I used both hands.
11:20:34	5	Q And if I recall your I wrote this down. I wrote
11:20:37	6	this down. Because I think you said, correct me if I'm
11:20:42	7	wrong, when it gets hard to turn, someone would use two
11:20:51	8	hands.
11:20:53	9	Do you recall testifying to that?
11:20:55	10	A I believe so, yes.
11:20:56	11	Q You agree with that statement?
11:20:57	12	A I don't disagree.
11:21:00	13	Q Okay.
11:21:02	14	MR. LEWIS: Do you have the manual pages? In
11:21:08	15	particular, the one with the highlights that go through
11:21:12	16	that. No force it open. I think it's number 6.
11:21:16	17	BY MR. LEWIS:
11:21:22	18	Q When it gets hard to turn, you put two hands on it.
11:21:26	19	Right?
11:21:26	20	A Yes.
11:21:27	21	${f Q}$ When it got hard to turn, you put two hands on it in
11:21:31	22	your testing. Right?
11:21:31	23	A Well, I think I started with two hands in the testing.
11:21:36	24	Q Right.
11:21:37	25	A I think my comment earlier to the jury was, if

11:21:40	1	something is hard to turn, the natural tendency is going to
11:21:45	2	be to go to the outer periphery.
11:21:47	3	Q Right. And that was your natural tendency, too, when
11:21:51	4	you were testing, correct?
11:21:52	5	A Yes.
11:21:52	6	Q Okay. And you see that? Were you able to keep your
11:21:55	7	monitor on? Is it still on in front of you, your monitor?
11:21:59	8	The computer.
11:21:59	9	A Oh, my monitor, yes.
11:22:01	10	Q Yeah. You got that in front of you?
11:22:03	11	By the way, did you read the manual before you started
11:22:07	12	operating this?
11:22:07	13	A Yes.
11:22:08	14	Q Cover to cover?
11:22:09	15	A Yes.
11:22:09	16	Q You would have seen these pages or this page in front
11:22:12	17	of you, correct?
11:22:13	18	A Yes.
11:22:14	19	Q All right. And did you read the statement that says:
11:22:27	20	Never force open the Power Pressure Cooker XL?
11:22:32	21	Did you read that?
11:22:33	22	A Yes.
11:22:33	23	Q Okay. You read it. Before you started putting two
11:22:36	24	hands on it during your testing, you had read that, correct?
11:22:40	25	A Yes.

11:22:40	1	Q Okay. And before Mr. Mattice started putting two
11:22:44	2	hands on it, you had read that, correct?
11:22:46	3	A That's correct.
11:22:47	4	${f Q}$ Okay. All right. Let me ask you a few questions
11:22:56	5	about some other things that well, I want to know, I
11:23:04	6	guess, if you did this or not.
11:23:10	7	I guess your testimony is that this has a defect in it
11:23:13	8	as a I guess as a pressure cooker, right?
11:23:15	9	Is that have I summarized it correctly?
11:23:19	10	This has a defect in it, in your opinion?
11:23:22	11	A Yes.
11:23:22	12	Q Okay. You know there are other pressure cookers on
11:23:25	13	the market, correct?
11:23:26	14	A I do.
11:23:26	15	Q Okay. From other manufacturers, correct?
11:23:28	16	A Yes.
11:23:28	17	${f Q}$ Okay. And so I imagine that what you did is you went
11:23:32	18	out from other manufacturers and you compared the designs
11:23:36	19	and safety features of pressure cookers from those other
11:23:39	20	manufacturers.
11:23:40	21	A I haven't evaluated other manufacturers, other than
11:23:44	22	I've observed some in the market. And I have a stovetop
11:23:50	23	case, a stovetop pressure cooker that I examined. But I
11:23:56	24	haven't examined other electric power cookers like this in
11:24:01	25	detail other than just what you see in the market.

11:24:03	1	Q So you can't tell us whether this might just be the
11:24:08	2	safest pressure cooker on the market or not. You haven't
11:24:12	3	done that comparison, correct?
11:24:14	4	A That's right.
11:24:15	5	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Have you studied the quality assurance steps
11:24:20	6	that Tristar takes for each one of these pressure cookers?
11:24:24	7	A Yes.
11:24:24	8	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. And you understand the certifications and the
11:24:27	9	compliance? You sat in here while I outlined those.
11:24:30	10	You understand that there are certifications and
11:24:33	11	compliance with testing standards that were met with this
11:24:36	12	pressure cooker, right?
11:24:37	13	A I understand that I believe it was ETL or Intertek
11:24:42	14	that performed testing to the 1026 spec, UL1026.
11:24:47	15	Q And that's this label right here on the back of the
11:24:51	16	pressure cooker. You see that label? Can you see it from
11:24:54	17	here?
11:24:54	18	A I can see it from here, yeah.
11:24:55	19	Q You know it's there from your examination?
11:24:58	20	A I can read it, yes.
11:25:00	21	Q And you know that this pressure cooker met the testing
11:25:02	22	standards that are outlined on the back of that cooker,
11:25:04	23	right?
11:25:05	24	A What that says is that Intertek says it met it
11:25:09	25	complies with UL1026. That's all it tells me.

11:25:12	1	Q Right. And those are internationally recognized
11:25:17	2	testing standards, correct?
11:25:18	3	A Well, I know that Intertek did not do a lid locking
11:25:23	4	test on that unit.
11:25:24	5	Q That's not my question. Do you want me to repeat it?
11:25:27	6	A Sure.
11:25:28	7	Q My question was, you know that those testing standards
11:25:31	8	are international testing standards, correct?
11:25:34	9	A Well, the UL is a U.S. national standard.
11:25:39	10	Q Okay. UL is a United States testing standard, and it
11:25:43	11	passed the UL standard. Correct?
11:25:45	12	A Well, I didn't say it passed the UL standard. I said
11:25:50	13	Intertek claims it complies with UL1026. That's all that
11:25:56	14	sticker tells me.
11:25:56	15	Q Understood. Thank you.
11:25:58	16	Next subject. I would imagine that if you're going to
11:26:01	17	testify about whether there's a defect in this product, that
11:26:04	18	you went out and looked at customer reviews or how the 2
11:26:09	19	million other people who have used this product talk about
11:26:14	20	it.
11:26:16	21	A I guess I didn't understand the question.
11:26:18	22	Q Well
11:26:20	23	THE COURT: He can't that's out-of-court
11:26:22	24	statements by somebody else, so go to something else.
11:26:25	25	MR. LEWIS: Okay.

11:26:36	1	THE COURT: What's the hearsay exception?
11:26:38	2	MR. LEWIS: Well, I wasn't asking him the
11:26:40	3	substance, Your Honor. I was just asking him if he had done
11:26:45	4	any of that work.
11:26:46	5	THE COURT: Go to something else.
11:26:49	6	BY MR. LEWIS:
11:26:49	7	Q I think you testified on direct that you didn't
11:26:52	8	conduct an accident reconstruction with the information that
11:26:58	9	you had from any of the three plaintiffs in this case; is
11:27:04	10	that correct?
11:27:04	11	A That's correct.
11:27:05	12	Q Okay. But as I understand it, you do have protective
11:27:14	13	equipment and a cage that you have for pressure cookers in
11:27:19	14	your facilities. Correct?
11:27:20	15	A That's right.
11:27:21	16	Q Okay. Did you review information about how the
11:27:26	17	plaintiffs describe the incidents that occurred?
11:27:30	18	A Yes.
11:27:31	19	Q You read their deposition testimony?
11:27:33	20	A I did.
11:27:33	21	Q Did you talk to them individually?
11:27:36	22	A I did not.
11:27:36	23	Q Okay. You only read deposition testimony?
11:27:40	24	A That's correct.
11:27:41	25	Q Okay. And if you did that, then you know that each of

11:27:45	1	the plaintiffs indicate that they followed all of the
11:27:49	2	instructions when they used the cooker, correct?
11:27:53	3	A Well, their testimony speaks for itself, yes.
11:27:58	4	Q But I'm going to ask you about
11:27:59	5	THE COURT: Don't ask him to characterize what
11:28:01	6	the testimony was. You can ask them questions. But you're
11:28:07	7	asking him to say recall what somebody else's deposition
11:28:11	8	said.
11:28:13	9	BY MR. LEWIS:
11:28:13	10	Q I really want your understanding for purposes of a
11:28:17	11	question that I want to ask you about the incident.
11:28:19	12	THE COURT: Well, how could he he didn't
11:28:21	13	have personal knowledge of the incidents. So if you want to
11:28:23	14	ask the plaintiffs themselves questions about the incidents,
11:28:26	15	you can. But don't ask him to what happened in the
11:28:30	16	incidents. And I don't understand his opinion on the
11:28:33	17	incidents or his understanding of the incidents. I don't
11:28:38	18	see the connection.
11:28:41	19	MR. LEWIS: Okay.
11:28:41	20	BY MR. LEWIS:
11:28:42	21	Q Well, let me ask you this: In your testing that you
11:28:44	22	did, you did on occasion you ran a cycle with hot water
11:28:52	23	and pressure inside the very devices used by the plaintiffs,
11:28:59	24	right?
11:28:59	25	A That's right.

11:29:00	1	Q Okay. And when you were done with that cycle, you
11:29:03	2	released the pressure from inside the cooker, correct?
11:29:05	3	A Are you talking about the SEA testing?
11:29:08	4	Q The testing of those devices, yes.
11:29:11	5	One of the tests that you did was to run through a
11:29:17	6	cycle with hot water, pressurized, and then to release the
11:29:22	7	pressure at the end of the cook cycle. Correct?
11:29:24	8	A I'd like to clarify. I didn't do that testing.
11:29:28	9	Mr. Mattice did.
11:29:28	10	Q You observed it?
11:29:29	11	A That's correct.
11:29:30	12	Q Okay. You were present, you observed it?
11:29:33	13	A I watched him run the test, yes.
11:29:35	14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. And you saw that the cook cycle was run and the
11:29:40	15	pressure was and by the way, this was an agreed test with
11:29:43	16	you and Mr. Mattice on a particular day. It was January of
11:29:46	17	this year where you guys got together and sort of figured
11:29:51	18	out, okay, we're going to run this series of tests. Right?
11:29:54	19	A No. Mr. Mattice had his own test protocol. The first
11:29:59	20	time I saw it was when he started running the test.
11:30:03	21	Q So you had some tests that you wanted to run while he
11:30:05	22	observed. He had some tests that he was going to run while
11:30:09	23	you observed. Correct?
11:30:11	24	A That's correct.
11:30:11	25	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. And so one of the tests that was run that day

11:30:14	1	that you observed was the cooker being tested under normal
11:30:17	2	cook cycle with hot water, and at the end of that cook cycle
11:30:22	3	the pressure was released from the cooker. Correct?
11:30:25	4	A That's correct.
11:30:26	5	Q And all of the pressure came out of the very cookers
11:30:29	6	right here, right?
11:30:30	7	A Right.
11:30:30	8	Q And then the lid was opened, correct?
11:30:32	9	A That's correct.
11:30:32	10	Q It was opened easily, correct?
11:30:35	11	A Well, it opens. You could have opened it with one
11:30:38	12	finger. It opened as easily as it closed.
11:30:42	13	Q And it did not explode, correct?
11:30:45	14	A That's correct.
11:30:51	15	Q Any test that you have done on the XL, has any test
11:30:55	16	that you've done on the XL shown that if the pressure is
11:31:01	17	released from the cooker, as instructed, that the lid will
11:31:09	18	blow off?
11:31:09	19	A No. I mean, it's obvious that if all the pressure is,
11:31:12	20	in fact, released, not just seemingly released but in fact
11:31:17	21	released, then the lid should not blow off.
11:31:20	22	Q And it can't because there's no pressure inside the
11:31:22	23	cooker, correct?
11:31:23	24	A That's correct.
11:31:24	25	Q It's fundamental physics, correct?

11:31:26	1	A That's right.
11:31:28	2	Q If the pressure is outside of this cooker, it's no
11:31:30	3	longer a cooker, it's a pot of hot water. Correct?
11:31:34	4	A That's correct.
11:31:47	5	${f Q}$ When you did your tests at SEA or otherwise, one of
11:31:55	6	the things that you did was you removed this pressure gauge,
11:32:00	7	correct?
11:32:00	8	A That's not a gauge. It's actually a manual release
11:32:06	9	valve.
11:32:06	10	Q Pressure manual release valve.
11:32:09	11	You removed it?
11:32:10	12	A We removed it.
11:32:11	13	Q And you put a gauge in there instead, correct?
11:32:14	14	A Yeah, just to replicate the way the manufacturer does
11:32:17	15	it.
11:32:17	16	Q That's one way that you modified the device during
11:32:21	17	your testing, correct?
11:32:21	18	A That's correct.
11:32:22	19	Q Another way that you modified the device during your
11:32:23	20	testing was you artificially lifted the float valve during
11:32:27	21	some of your tests, correct?
11:32:29	22	A Not during the SEA test.
11:32:30	23	Q During some of your examination of the cookers, you
11:32:33	24	artificially lifted the float valve?
11:32:36	25	A Yes, for my in-home test, that's right.

11:32:36	1	Q Correct.
11:32:39	2	A That was for the non-pressure version of the test.
11:32:41	3	Q I understand.
11:32:42	4	You also for one of your tests, you used that little
11:32:46	5	clip that you showed the jury, correct?
11:32:48	6	A Yes.
11:32:49	7	Q Okay. That was a modification. You put that inside
11:32:52	8	of the lid, correct?
11:32:53	9	A Well, not on the inside. It's between the lid and
11:32:57	10	base on the outside.
11:32:58	11	Q Correct. Okay.
11:33:04	12	That's not called for in the instruction manual
11:33:16	13	anywhere, is it?
11:33:07	14	A No, you wouldn't use a cooker that way. You would
11:33:11	15	only test it that way.
11:33:13	16	Q Okay. The last kind of concept here.
11:33:30	17	In any of the materials that you saw related to the
11:33:36	18	XL, whether I guess, did you watch some videos? You made
11:33:40	19	some statements about representations and warranties.
11:33:43	20	Did you watch some videos?
11:33:45	21	A Yes.
11:33:45	22	Q Okay. Did you look at the written materials that come
11:33:48	23	with the product, including the instruction guide?
11:33:51	24	A I have, yes.
11:33:52	25	Q Okay. Video from Eric Theiss, the chef, did you watch

Pratt (Redirect)

11:34:00	1	that video?
11:34:00	2	A Yes.
11:34:01	3	Q Okay. Could you cite me to anyplace where they open
11:34:09	4	the cooker with force and two hands on the outside?
11:34:13	5	A No, I haven't seen that.
11:34:17	6	MR. LEWIS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
11:34:20	7	MR. COLEMAN: Just brief redirect, Your Honor.
11:34:23	8	
11:34:23	9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11:34:23	10	BY MR. COLEMAN:
11:34:25	11	Q Dr. Pratt, you were asked a question by Mr. Lewis,
11:34:27	12	when you and Mr. Mattice tested the units and brought the
11:34:31	13	units up to pressure, if it was working as intended. And
11:34:35	14	you said, yes.
11:34:36	15	Is that do you remember that question and answer,
11:34:38	16	sir?
11:34:39	17	A Yeah. Well, actually, there were two phases to the
11:34:42	18	test. When we were doing the lid rotation test, they came
11:34:46	19	up to pressure as like they're supposed to. We cut the
11:34:49	20	pressure off, let it drop until we could rotate the lids.
11:34:53	21	But then there was another protocol that Mr. Mattice
11:34:55	22	had put together where he ran them through their cycles
11:34:58	23	without the pressure gauges or without the analog pressure
11:35:02	24	gauges. That was different. They functioned as they were
11:35:04	25	expected to function in that test.

Pratt (Redirect)

11:35:07	1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Yes. But with respect to the testing you and
11:35:12	2	Mr. Mattice did to determine if the lids could be opened
11:35:15	3	while there was pressure still in the unit, is that what
11:35:17	4	actually occurred? You could open the unit or you could
11:35:22	5	open the lid while there was still pressure in the unit?
11:35:25	6	A That's right.
11:35:26	7	Q And is that supposed to happen?
11:35:28	8	A No, it's not supposed to happen. I mean, the owner's
11:35:32	9	manuals say it's not supposed to happen. The videos say
11:35:35	10	it's not supposed to happen.
11:35:36	11	Q In fact, we talked about this. You heard in my
11:35:43	12	opening statement, Mr. Cruz we couldn't get his video up
11:35:47	13	and running then, but Mr. Cruz says, all these features are
11:35:52	14	designed to make sure that whether human error or mechanical
11:35:57	15	malfunction occur, using the power pressure cooker is always
11:36:02	16	safe.
11:36:02	17	Do you remember seeing that video of Mr. Cruz?
11:36:05	18	A Yes, I do.
11:36:06	19	Q So their whole argument, as you've heard from
11:36:11	20	Mr. Lewis and other lawyers on the defendant side, is that,
11:36:13	21	well, these plaintiffs must have used force to open these
11:36:18	22	lids under pressure.
11:36:19	23	Do you understand that to be the defense?
11:36:21	24	A I do.
11:36:21	25	Q But even if there was human error by forcing the unit,

Pratt (Redirect)

11:36:28	1	according to Mr. Cruz, is it your understanding from that
11:36:31	2	material that the power pressure cooker is always supposed
11:36:36	3	to be safe anyway?
11:36:38	4	A Yes, it is. Yes. That's my understanding.
11:36:41	5	Q All right. Well, let's play that now. I think we
11:36:45	6	finally after some trial and error
11:36:47	7	MR. LEWIS: Objection, Your Honor.
11:36:48	8	MR. COLEMAN: Stop it.
11:36:50	9	MR. LEWIS: This wasn't the subject
11:36:51	10	THE COURT: You can use it to cross-examine
11:36:53	11	the witness, but you can't use it now.
11:36:57	12	MR. COLEMAN: Okay. Will do, Your Honor.
11:36:59	13	THE COURT: Do you have any other questions?
11:37:02	14	MR. COLEMAN: Let me just check with counsel
11:37:04	15	here.
11:37:11	16	I don't think so, Your Honor. Thank you.
11:37:13	17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11:37:15	18	Would the plaintiff call your next witness.
11:37:21	19	MR. EDWARDS: Your Honor, our next witness on
11:37:23	20	the list is the videoconference witness. So may we have
11:37:27	21	just a minute to set that up?
11:38:05	22	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you're going
11:38:05	23	to observe testimony given by way of videoconference.
11:38:11	24	You're to receive and consider that testimony the same as if
11:38:15	25	the witness were here live.

		veimer (birees)
11:38:21	1	And I'd guess if you'd raise your right hand.
11:38:26	2	(Witness sworn.)
11:38:34	3	THE COURT: And tell us your name and tell us
11:38:36	4	how you spell your last name.
11:38:37	5	THE WITNESS: My name is Jessica Ruth Vennel.
11:38:41	6	V, as in Victor, E-N-N-E-L.
11:38:51	7	THE COURT: And are you one of the plaintiffs
11:38:53	8	in this case?
11:38:54	9	THE WITNESS: I am.
11:38:56	10	MR. EDWARDS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11:38:56	11	JESSICA R. VENNEL
11:38:30	12	
11:38:30	13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11:38:30	14	BY MR. EDWARDS:
11:38:59	15	Q Ms. Vennel, can you hear me okay?
11:39:01	16	A I can.
11:39:02	17	Q I'll admit I'm a little nervous about taking testimony
11:39:07	18	from somebody over the videoconference. But if you can't
11:39:08	19	hear me, just let me know and we'll get it figured out. All
11:39:12	20	right?
11:39:12	21	A Okay.
11:39:13	22	Q Before I start asking you questions, Ms. Vennel, would
11:39:17	23	you just tell the Court and jury why you're unable to be in
11:39:21	24	the courtroom today, please.
11:39:22	25	A Yes. On June 28th I had abdominal surgery to repair

		(01100)
11:39:27	1	pelvic organ prolapse, so my surgeon and my PCP felt it best
11:39:33	2	that I do not travel for the trial.
11:39:35	3	Q Okay. Would you like to be here today in person?
11:39:37	4	A I would.
11:39:42	5	$oldsymbol{Q}$ All right. Go ahead and state your full address for
11:39:44	6	me, if you would, Ms. Vennel.
11:39:46	7	A 229 Munson Avenue, McKees Rocks, Pennsylvania, 15136.
11:39:53	8	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. And how long have you been a resident of the
11:39:55	9	state of Pennsylvania?
11:39:56	10	A 40 years.
11:39:58	11	Q 40 years. Okay.
11:39:59	12	Do you live there by yourself?
11:40:03	13	A No. I live along with my husband, my son, and two of
11:40:09	14	my three daughters.
11:40:10	15	Q Three daughters.
11:40:11	16	Okay. What are their ages?
11:40:14	17	A 21, 19, 14.
11:40:19	18	Q Okay.
11:40:19	19	A And my son is 13.
11:40:21	20	Q Okay. I'm imagining you're like me and probably a lot
11:40:24	21	of us that those kids are a handful having that many kids
11:40:29	22	around the house?
11:40:30	23	A Yes.
11:40:30	24	Q Okay. Any special challenges with your children?

A My 21-year-old child is autistic and also disabled.

11:40:34 25

11:40:41	1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ So in addition to taking care of your children there
11:40:43	2	at home, and I understand we'll talk about this later, but
11:40:48	3	also doing the cooking, do you work outside of the home?
11:40:51	4	A I do, full time.
11:40:55	5	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Tell the Court and jury what you do for a
11:40:58	6	living.
11:40:58	7	A I am an account clerk for the Allegheny County Health
11:41:03	8	Department.
11:41:03	9	Q And what does that involve, Ms. Vennel?
11:41:05	10	A That involves budgets, projections, daily revenue
11:41:11	11	reports, reporting to the controller's office along with the
11:41:14	12	budget office.
11:41:14	13	Q Okay. So you're not a professional chef. We want to
11:41:18	14	be clear about that.
11:41:19	15	A No, I am not.
11:41:24	16	Q Explain how you first became aware of the Power
11:41:28	17	Pressure Cooker XL sold by Tristar.
11:41:31	18	A Numerous infomercials on different cable channels.
11:41:34	19	Q Okay. That's how you first actually became of the
11:41:38	20	unit is TV infomercials?
11:41:42	21	A Yes.
11:41:42	22	Q Those are kind of like the as-seen-on-TV commercials
11:41:48	23	that we've all seen?
11:41:50	24	A Yes.
11:41:50	25	Q Was it those TV ads that ultimately led to your

11:41:54	1	decision to go out and make this purchase of the Tristar
11:42:00	2	Power Pressure Cooker?
11:42:00	3	A Yes, they were.
11:42:01	4	Q Okay. And I'm not asking you to recount specific
11:42:06	5	details of these infomercials because it's been some time, I
11:42:10	6	understand, but what factors stood out to you in those TV
11:42:16	7	infomercials that ultimately led to your decision to go make
11:42:19	8	the purchase of this particular Power Pressure Cooker XL
11:42:23	9	unit?
11:42:24	10	A That I could safely and quickly cook meals for my
11:42:29	11	family.
11:42:29	12	Q Okay. Did you understand that, I guess at some point,
11:42:34	13	that this power pressure cooker could be used for things
11:42:38	14	like as a slow cooker?
11:42:40	15	A Yes, I did.
11:42:41	16	Q Okay. Did you buy it to use it as a slow cooker,
11:42:45	17	though?
11:42:46	18	A No. I actually bought the unit to replace my previous
11:42:51	19	pressure cooker.
11:42:52	20	Q Okay. Let me ask you about that.
11:42:58	21	So you did have experience using a pressure cooker
11:43:02	22	before you bought the Tristar Power Pressure Cooker XL?
11:43:06	23	A Yes, I did.
11:43:07	24	Q Okay. How long had you used your old pressure cooker
11:43:13	25	before you bought the Tristar pressure cooker?

11:43:17	1	A Well over ten years.
11:43:19	2	Q Ten years.
11:43:21	3	Okay. Was this one of the newer pressure cooker
11:43:28	4	models like the Tristar brand that you plug in or is this
11:43:32	5	one of the old stovetop pressure cookers?
11:43:35	6	A The old stovetop.
11:43:36	7	Q Okay. Was Tristar was this one of the made in
11:43:40	8	China Tristar pressure cookers that you had before?
11:43:44	9	A No, not at all.
11:43:46	10	Q Okay. Well, let me ask you this: Did the old
11:43:52	11	pressure cooker that you used for ten years prior to the
11:43:54	12	Tristar product ever explode?
11:43:56	13	A No, it did not.
11:43:58	14	Q Were you ever injured in any way through 10 years of
11:44:01	15	using your old pressure cooker, not manufactured by Tristar?
11:44:06	16	A Not once.
11:44:08	17	Q Okay. Do you recall what you paid for your Tristar
11:44:22	18	power cooker?
11:44:22	19	A \$99.
11:44:24	20	Q And where did you make the purchase, if you recall?
11:44:27	21	A In Target in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
11:44:32	22	Q When you went out and you bought the Power Pressure
11:44:34	23	Cooker XL from Target in Pennsylvania, tell me what you did
11:44:38	24	when you got the unit home for the first time.
11:44:41	25	A We unpacked my husband and I unpacked the pressure

11:44:46	1	cooker, and I read the manual.
11:44:49	2	${f Q}$ Okay. Did you read the entire instruction manual
11:44:53	3	before you used it?
11:44:55	4	A Yes, I did.
11:44:56	5	Q Okay. Do you remember seeing something about safety
11:45:03	6	features when you read the manual involving the lid?
11:45:07	7	A Yes, I did.
11:45:08	8	${f Q}$ Okay. And what do you remember seeing in the manual
11:45:11	9	about the safety features and the lid specifically?
11:45:15	10	A That the lid would not could not be removed if
11:45:20	11	there was any pressure in the unit.
11:45:21	12	${f Q}$ Okay. So when you after you read that and you
11:45:25	13	started cooking with your pressure cooker, were you taking
11:45:28	14	care to pay special attention when removing the lid, or was
11:45:33	15	this something you thought, wow, I don't need to worry about
11:45:40	16	it?
11:45:40	17	A I thought that I didn't need to worry about it.
11:45:43	18	Q And was that because of the representations made in
11:45:46	19	the manual which indicated that the lid can't be removed
11:45:49	20	while the contents are under pressure?
11:45:51	21	A Yes.
11:45:52	22	Q Okay. And did those statements, those representations
11:45:56	23	made by Tristar about the safety and the inability to remove
11:46:00	24	the lid under pressure, did that lead to your decision to
11:46:03	25	keep the cooker and to go ahead and start using it in your

11:46:07	1	home and to cook for your family?
11:46:10	2	A Yes.
11:46:12	3	Q Did you ever use the Power Pressure Cooker XL for any
11:46:18	4	of these other reasons, like a slow cooker or anything else,
11:46:22	5	other than to quickly and safely cook food under pressure?
11:46:27	6	A No, just as a pressure cooker.
11:46:28	7	Q Okay. Have you got a Crock-Pot at home and other
11:46:32	8	things which would allow I'm assuming a saute pan that
11:46:36	9	you can do these other things?
11:46:38	10	A I do. I have something for everything that this unit
11:46:42	11	could do.
11:46:42	12	${f Q}$ Okay. So the intended use for you was just as a
11:46:45	13	pressure cooker, that's it?
11:46:46	14	A Yes.
11:46:46	15	Q Okay. How many times did you use this Power Pressure
11:46:55	16	Cooker XL before the explosion that we're here about today?
11:46:58	17	A Twice.
11:46:59	18	Q Okay. Let's talk about, correct me if I'm wrong, I
11:47:11	19	believe the date of the explosion was February 25th, 2016.
11:47:16	20	Is that correct?
11:47:17	21	A Yes.
11:47:17	22	Q Explain to the Court and jury how you intended to use
11:47:21	23	your cooker.
11:47:24	24	A I intended to prepare homemade chicken soup for my
	0.5	

11:47:29 25 family that evening. I had gone through all the steps to

11:47:34	1	prepare the chicken, the vegetables, along with filling the
11:47:38	2	pot with the water in preparation to make the soup.
11:47:44	3	Q Okay. Did you do anything out of the ordinary,
11:47:48	4	anything that you would consider abnormal as a person
11:47:52	5	experienced for over ten years in using a pressure cooker on
11:47:55	6	February 25th, 2016?
11:47:57	7	A No.
11:47:57	8	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. So what did you do after you got the
11:48:03	9	contents of the recipe you were going to make into the
11:48:06	10	pressure cooker?
11:48:06	11	A I put the lid on and locked it into place.
11:48:11	12	Q Okay.
11:48:12	13	A Then I proceeded to push the soup/stew button, set it
11:48:16	14	for 20 minutes, and hit hit "start."
11:48:21	15	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. All right. So did you stay staring at the
11:48:26	16	pressure cooker the entire time while it cooked or did you
11:48:29	17	go do something else?
11:48:31	18	A No, I went to sit in my dining room.
11:48:35	19	Q Is it close and connected there to your kitchen area?
11:48:39	20	A Yes. There's absolutely no wall between my kitchen
11:48:41	21	and my dining room.
11:48:43	22	Q So you told us about 20 minutes passed.
11:48:46	23	Tell us what happened next.
11:48:47	24	A After 20 minutes, I went into the kitchen to check to
11:48:51	25	see if the pressure cooker was finished cooking. The front

11:48:57	1	display flashed stating that the cooking process was
11:49:00	2	finished.
11:49:01	3	I then reached the steam valve, turned it to the open
11:49:07	4	position, and allowed the steam to escape.
11:49:10	5	Q When you say steam valve, are you talking about the
11:49:14	6	black valve that rotates to an open and closed position on
11:49:18	7	top of the lid of the pressure cooker?
11:49:20	8	A Yes.
11:49:25	9	Q Okay. So you actually opened it from the closed
11:49:27	10	position to the open position.
11:49:28	11	You're sure about that?
11:49:30	12	A Yes, positive.
11:49:31	13	Q Did you actually see steam start to come out of the
11:49:35	14	unit?
11:49:35	15	A Yes, I did.
11:49:36	16	Q Okay. So what did you do next after you started
11:49:39	17	seeing steam come out of the unit?
11:49:41	18	A I allowed the unit to expel the steam for 10 to 15
11:49:48	19	minutes.
11:49:49	20	Q Okay. Did you actually stay and watch it shoot all
11:49:53	21	the steam out or, again, did you leave the room?
11:49:55	22	A I left the room.
11:49:56	23	${f Q}$ Okay. So you leave the room and then you come back to
11:49:59	24	check on the unit.
11:50:00	25	When you got back, was it still in the open position

		, cc. (22200)
11:50:03	1	or had somebody come along and tampered with it?
11:50:07	2	A No, it was still in the open position.
11:50:09	3	Q Okay. And while it was in the open position, did you
11:50:13	4	observe whether any steam was coming out of the unit at that
11:50:17	5	time?
11:50:17	6	A No. There was no steam expelled.
11:50:21	7	Q Okay. So the pressure cooker is in the open position
11:50:24	8	but no more steam was coming out. Did this give you the
11:50:28	9	green light that it was okay to go ahead and open the unit?
11:50:33	10	A Yes.
11:50:33	11	Q Tell us how you positioned your hands on the unit to
11:50:36	12	open it.
11:50:37	13	A My one hand was on the handle on the base of the unit,
11:50:43	14	and my other hand was on the handle, the bottom part of the
11:50:49	15	handle, on the lid.
11:50:50	16	Q Okay. Now, do you consider yourself an individual
11:50:54	17	who's got particularly strong hands, Ms. Vennel?
11:50:58	18	A Absolutely not. In 2015, I had a carpal tunnel
11:51:05	19	surgery that left my hands with rather a noted weakness.
11:51:08	20	Q Okay. Is that surgery on your right hand or your left
11:51:12	21	hand?
11:51:12	22	A It was actually on both.
11:51:13	23	Q You had carpal tunnel surgery on both hands in 2015?
11:51:18	24	A Yes, I did.

Okay. Did you have to get help from husband or kids

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11:51:22	1	around the house to open ordinary household things like
11:51:26	2	jars?
11:51:27	3	A Oh, yes.
11:51:29	4	Q Okay.
11:51:29	5	A Always.
11:51:30	6	Q Okay. So since 2015, just so I understand, you've
11:51:38	7	been left with permanent weakness in the strength of your
11:51:42	8	hands due to your carpal tunnels?
11:51:45	9	A Yes.
11:51:45	10	${f Q}$ Okay. Is that why you used the palm of your hand to
11:51:48	11	open the pressure cooker?
11:51:49	12	A Yes.
11:51:53	13	Q Is that how you've done it in all the times before
11:51:57	14	that you'd used the pressure cooker? And I'm talking about
11:52:00	15	your old pressure cooker.
11:52:01	16	A Yes, that was the same way.
11:52:03	17	Q Okay. You told me that you'd used this Tristar
11:52:06	18	pressure cooker two times before you got hurt, correct?
11:52:09	19	A Yes.
11:52:10	20	Q When you opened your pressure cooker on February 25th,
11:52:15	21	2016, did you open it any differently than you did the two
11:52:18	22	times prior?
11:52:19	23	A No. There wasn't a difference.
11:52:21	24	Q Okay. Did you feel any difference in terms of the
11:52:25	25	difficulty in getting the lid to rotate versus the two times

11:52:30	1	prior?
11:52:30	2	A No, there wasn't a difference.
11:52:31	3	Q Do you feel like you misused the product on
11:52:35	4	February 25th, 2016, as you opened the lid and the contents
11:52:40	5	erupted?
11:52:41	6	A No, not at all.
11:52:43	7	Q Did you force the lid open in contravention of the
11:52:49	8	owner's manual on February 25th, 2016?
11:52:53	9	A No, I did not.
11:52:54	10	Q Okay. Tell the Court and jury what happened when you
11:53:06	11	were able to rotate the lid on a pressurized Tristar Power
11:53:13	12	Pressure Cooker on February 25th, 2016.
11:53:16	13	A Well, when I went to turn the lid to open it, the
11:53:22	14	pressure from within had forced the lid up and the contents,
11:53:27	15	scalding all myself, my countertops, all over my cabinets,
11:53:35	16	my dishes, as well as it showered down over everything and
11:53:44	17	splattered the ceiling.
11:53:46	18	Q Well, I mean, is there any chance that you simply
11:53:49	19	spilled the contents on yourself?
11:53:51	20	A Absolutely not.
11:53:52	21	Q Okay.
11:53:53	22	A There was no way for me to spill it as every ounce
11:53:58	23	that was inside the pressure cooker was expelled.
11:54:02	24	Q Did you actually damage your cabinets when this
11:54:06	25	happened?

11:54:06	1	A Yes. The cabinets or some of the cabinets are
11:54:10	2	warped where the scalding hot liquid hit.
11:54:16	3	Q Okay. And were you also severely burned as a result
11:54:21	4	of this exploding pressure cooker?
11:54:24	5	A I was.
11:54:25	6	Q All right. And I'm not going to ask you any details
11:54:28	7	about those injuries because that's not what we're here
11:54:31	8	about today. But is it fair to say that those burns have
11:54:34	9	caused you a lot of issues that you're still dealing with?
11:54:38	10	A Yes.
11:54:38	11	Q Okay. Miss Vennel, when Tristar represented to you
11:54:58	12	through infomercials and through at least two places in the
11:55:02	13	owner's manual that the lid of this product cannot be
11:55:05	14	removed while the contents are under pressure, in your
11:55:08	15	experience, were those true statements?
11:55:10	16	A No, they were not.
11:55:14	17	Q And how do you know that?
11:55:15	18	A Because on February 25th, it exploded all over without
11:55:21	19	any force being put on the lid. The lid opened easily.
11:55:27	20	Q Okay. Would you have paid anything for this product
11:55:34	21	if you had known about this safety defect?
11:55:36	22	A Absolutely not. I wouldn't have paid a penny.
11:55:39	23	Q Will you ever plug this unit in in your home around
11:55:43	24	your family for any of the purposes that Tristar may tout,
11:55:47	25	other than pressure cooking?

11:55:50	1	A Absolutely not. It's a dangerous product.
11:55:57	2	Q Okay. Will you ever use the Power Pressure Cooker XL
11:56:01	3	for any reason ever again?
11:56:02	4	A No, I will not.
11:56:03	5	Q Knowing what you know now about this defect, did the
11:56:07	6	Power Pressure Cooker XL have any value on the date you
11:56:11	7	purchased it?
11:56:12	8	A No. It was worthless.
11:56:18	9	Q Miss Vennel, the last question I have for you is, why
11:56:22	10	have you agreed to serve as a class representative in this
11:56:26	11	litigation?
11:56:27	12	A Basically, I don't want this to happen to any other
11:56:31	13	person. This was a horrendous thing that occurred, and I
11:56:36	14	want people to be protected and owners of this pressure
11:56:39	15	cooker to know that this can happen. And if they would like
11:56:42	16	to get a refund, that it is an option for them.
11:56:47	17	MR. EDWARDS: Okay. Miss Vennel, thank you
11:56:49	18	very much for your time. I know you've been through a lot
11:56:53	19	with the recent surgery, and I appreciate you visiting with
11:56:56	20	us today. Okay?
11:56:57	21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11:56:58	22	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
11:57:01	23	MR. LEWIS: Am I allowed to use documents
11:57:03	24	through this system or is that not permissible?
11:57:08	25	THE COURT: I don't know. Has she received

Vennel (Cross)

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11:57:13	1	the documents?
11:57:14	2	MR. LEWIS: I didn't send them to her because
11:57:17	3	they just filed a motion.
11:57:20	4	THE COURT: I don't know if there's some way
11:57:21	5	to I don't know how you
11:57:22	6	DEPUTY CLERK: Yeah, how we'd show it to her.
11:57:25	7	MR. LEWIS: I'll work with it.
11:57:25	8	THE COURT: Okay.
11:57:28	9	
11:57:28	10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11:57:28	11	BY MR. LEWIS:
11:57:40	12	Q Good morning Miss is it Vennel? Did I pronounce
11:57:44	13	that correctly?
11:57:45	14	A Yes.
11:57:45	15	Q Yes, thanks
11:57:46	16	A Yes.
11:57:46	17	${f Q}$ for joining us. This is me standing at the podium
11:57:51	18	here. And it's nice that our court can accommodate this.
11:57:55	19	Sorry about the awkwardness of you looking at my back while
11:58:00	20	I get to look at you testify.
11:58:04	21	But my name is John Lewis, and I represent Tristar.
11:58:07	22	And I just have a few questions for you today about some of
11:58:10	23	the testimony that you gave. Okay, ma'am?
11:58:12	24	A Okay.
11:58:13	25	${f Q}$ All right. The first topic is related to TV ads.

11:58:19	1	Did you see TV ads prior to purchasing the Power
11:58:26	2	Pressure Cooker XL?
11:58:26	3	A Yes, I did.
11:58:27	4	Q Okay. And was it those TV ads that caused you or the
11:58:32	5	information in those TV ads that caused you to make the
11:58:37	6	purchase of the Power Pressure Cooker XL?
11:58:41	7	A Yes.
11:58:42	8	Q Do you have specific recollection of what TV ads you
11:58:47	9	did see or just a general recollection of that?
11:58:52	10	A A general recollection.
11:58:54	11	Q Okay. Next topic.
11:59:07	12	You get home, and I just want to make sure, you read a
11:59:16	13	small square instruction manual that came with the cooker;
11:59:22	14	is that right?
11:59:22	15	A Yes.
11:59:23	16	Q Okay. And you have a recollection that you read that
11:59:26	17	from cover to cover, right?
11:59:29	18	A Yes. Yes, I did.
11:59:31	19	${f Q}$ And do you recall that there was warranty information
11:59:36	20	in that particular instruction manual?
11:59:40	21	A Yes.
11:59:41	22	${f Q}$ And you understood that the warranty said that if
11:59:47	23	there was misuse or modification of the device, it would
11:59:50	24	void the warranty.
11:59:51	25	Do you remember that part?

11:59:53	1	A Yes.
11:59:53	2	Q Okay. And then there were also other instructions
11:59:57	3	within that manual, correct?
11:59:59	4	A Yes.
11:59:59	5	Q One of the instructions, do you recall, said that you
12:00:04	6	should always check the pressure release valve for clogs
12:00:08	7	before you use it.
12:00:10	8	Do you recall that?
12:00:11	9	A Yes.
12:00:11	10	Q Okay. And you did that, right? You before cooking
12:00:18	11	on the incident that you described, you checked to make sure
12:00:22	12	the pressure release valve was free of any clogs, correct?
12:00:26	13	A Yes.
12:00:28	14	Q Okay. And then you cooked a meal that took about 20
12:00:33	15	minutes; is that right?
12:00:35	16	A Yes.
12:00:36	17	Q And during that 20 minutes you left the room, but you
12:00:40	18	came back at the end of the cook cycle; is that correct?
12:00:45	19	A That's correct.
12:00:46	20	Q Okay. And when you came back at the end of the cook
12:00:50	21	cycle, are you sure that you turned the pressure release
12:00:55	22	valve to open? Are you sure about that?
12:00:58	23	A Absolutely.
12:00:58	24	Q Okay. And you did you hear whistling, or you
12:01:07	25	definitely saw steam come out; is that right?

12:01:10	1	A Yes.
12:01:10	2	Q And it came out for I mean, you witnessed it,
12:01:13	3	right?
12:01:14	4	A Yes, for a few for a few seconds, and then I went
12:01:17	5	to set in the dining room where I could see it from sitting.
12:01:21	6	${f Q}$ And was it whistling too, kind of like you know how
12:01:27	7	like steam on a if you make a pot of tea or something,
12:01:33	8	you hear that whistle sound when it's ready? Did you hear a
12:01:37	9	whistling here as well?
12:01:37	10	A Yes.
12:01:37	11	Q And then you left the room, right, for about 10 or 15
12:01:41	12	minutes?
12:01:42	13	A Yes.
12:01:42	14	Q Okay. And are you pretty sure that was about the
12:01:45	15	estimated time? It wasn't like 1 or 2 minutes? It was
12:01:47	16	you're pretty sure it was 10 or 15 minutes?
12:01:50	17	A Yes, 10, 15 minutes.
12:01:52	18	Q And did at some point in time the whistling and the
12:01:59	19	steam just kind of stop?
12:02:00	20	A When I returned back into the kitchen, it had all
12:02:04	21	stopped.
12:02:04	22	Q It had all stopped.
12:02:06	23	But were you sure that the pressure release valve was
12:02:10	24	still open at that time?
12:02:13	25	A Yes, it was still open.

		Veiller (CIOSS)
12:02:11	1	Q Nobody had bumped it?
12:02:14	2	A No.
12:02:14	3	Q Still completely open?
12:02:15	4	A Yes.
12:02:16	5	Q So it was absolutely still open 10, 15 minutes later
12:02:19	6	when you walked back into the kitchen, correct?
12:02:22	7	A Correct.
12:02:22	8	Q Okay. And then when you opened the lid, it opened
12:02:29	9	easily, correct?
12:02:32	10	A It did.
12:02:33	11	Q I mean, although you used two hands, you it pretty
12:02:38	12	much just easily turned and opened up; is that right?
12:02:44	13	A Yes, that's correct.
12:02:46	14	Q Okay. You didn't force it?
12:02:49	15	A No, I did not.
12:02:50	16	Q Okay. Was anybody else around when this occurred?
12:02:56	17	A My 21-year-old daughter was sitting in the dining room
12:03:00	18	with me, and my other children were upstairs.
12:03:13	19	Q Okay. So did your daughter witness the incident?
12:03:15	20	A I believe so.
12:03:19	21	MR. LEWIS: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
12:03:21	22	THE COURT: Do you have any redirect?
12:03:25	23	MR. EDWARDS: No more questions, Your Honor.
12:03:27	24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
12:03:29	25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.

		-				
12:03:30	1	THE COURT: Thank you.				
12:03:30	2	And would plaintiff call your next witness?				
12:03:35	3	MR. EDWARDS: I called Kenneth Chapman.				
12:03:45	4	THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand.				
12:03:53	5	(Witness sworn.)				
12:03:53	6	THE COURT: If you'll take a seat. And get				
12:04:03	7	close to the microphone, then tell us your name and how you				
12:04:06	8	spell your last name.				
12:04:08	9	THE WITNESS: My is Kenneth Chapman,				
12:04:13	10	C-H-A-P-M-A-N.				
12:04:13	11	KENNETH CHAPMAN				
12:04:13	12					
12:04:16	13	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
12:04:16	14	BY MR. WALLACE:				
12:04:16	15	Q Mr. Chapman, hello.				
12:04:18	16	A Hi.				
12:04:18	17	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Go ahead, you just gave the jury an introduction and				
12:04:18	18	told them what your name is. Go ahead and tell the jury				
12:04:23	19	where you live.				
12:04:23	20	A I live on Hathaway Drive in Brunswick, Ohio.				
12:04:27	21	Q Brunswick, Ohio?				
12:04:28	22	A Brunswick, Ohio.				
12:04:29	23	Q Okay. That's Big Ten I'm an SCC guy. That's a Big				
12:04:34	24	Ten country, right?				
12:04:36	25	Okay. How long have you been an Ohio guy?				

12:04:38	1	A	МУ	whole	life,	55	years.

- 12:04:40 2 Q Okay. And who do you live there with at your home in
- 12:04:45 3 Brunswick?
- 12:04:46 4 A My wife, Pamela, and my daughter, Gracie.
- 12:04:50 5 **Q** All right. And what do you do for a living,
- 12:04:54 6 Mr. Chapman?
- 12:04:55 7 **A** I'm in fasteners. I run a national bolt make and
- 12:05:03 8 header.
- 12:05:03 9 **Q** Okay. Are you an engineer?
- 12:05:05 10 **A** No, no engineer.
- 12:05:06 11 Q Okay. So what does a guy that makes headers do? What
- 12:05:10 12 do you --
- 12:05:11 13 A Bolts. Any kind of fasteners, any, you know, screws,
- 12:05:15 14 bolts.
- 12:05:15 15 **Q** All right. You're in a suit today and I appreciate
- 12:05:18 16 | that. But you consider yourself kind of a blue-collar guy?
- 12:05:23 17 **A** Yeah.
- 12:05:23 18 Q Okay. All right. Explain to the Court and jury, if
- 12:05:26 19 | you would, how you first became aware of the Power Pressure
- 12:05:33 20 Cooker XL.
- 12:05:33 21 A On TV, on the infomercials. I seen a couple of
- 12:05:39 22 different infomercials on the pressure cooker.
- 12:05:41 23 Q Okay. And was it those TV ads that led to your
- 12:05:45 24 decision to buy the power pressure cooker?
- 12:05:50 25 **A** Yes.

12:05:50	1	Q Okay. Did you have to do some coaxing of your wife to
12:05:56	2	make that happen?
12:05:57	3	A Yeah. My wife really didn't want a pressure cooker.
12:06:00	4	Q Was she afraid of them?
12:06:01	5	A Yes.
12:06:02	6	Q Okay. Did you point out to your wife that these
12:06:07	7	pressure cookers, based on the ads, were supposed to be the
12:06:09	8	safe ones?
12:06:10	9	A Absolutely, yes. They say you couldn't open it under
12:06:13	10	pressure.
12:06:14	11	Q Okay. All right. And so you talked her into it for
12:06:17	12	those reasons, right?
12:06:19	13	A Yes.
12:06:19	14	Q Is she the cook in the family or are you the cook?
12:06:23	15	A Yes, she is.
12:06:24	16	Q Okay. In fact, did she do all the cooking with this
12:06:27	17	Tristar Power Pressure Cooker?
12:06:29	18	A Yes, she did.
12:06:30	19	Q And when did you guys actually make the purchase, if
12:06:34	20	you remember? I know it's been a while.
12:06:35	21	A I believe sometime in early 2016.
12:06:38	22	Q Okay. When you got the power pressure cooker home for
12:06:47	23	the first time, did you and your wife go through it, go
12:06:50	24	through what was in the box?
12:06:51	25	A Yes.

12:06:52	1	Q Did you guys read the entire instruction manual?
12:06:57	2	A Everything up to the recipes.
12:06:58	3	Q Okay. Did you actually read them or did she read them
12:07:02	4	to you?
12:07:02	5	A She read them to me. We went over them together.
12:07:06	6	Q Okay. And you bailed when it got to the recipe part?
12:07:10	7	A Yeah.
12:07:14	8	Q Do you remember hearing something about the safety
12:07:17	9	features involving the lid in the manual? And, if so, tell
12:07:21	10	us what you remember.
12:07:21	11	A Well, the chef that was on the infomercial says they
12:07:27	12	were safe. You couldn't open them, you know, under any
12:07:31	13	pressure.
12:07:31	14	Q Okay.
12:07:33	15	A Then you get the manual, the manual said the same
12:07:36	16	thing, you know?
12:07:37	17	Q Okay. All right. And was it those representations
12:07:40	18	both before you made the purchase and after that led to your
12:07:43	19	decision to go ahead and try this thing out, take it out of
12:07:46	20	the box, use it in your home?
12:07:48	21	A Yeah, yeah.
12:07:59	22	Q Okay. You said your wife had used it a few times
12:08:02	23	before this incident where it exploded on you, correct?
12:08:04	24	A Right.
12:08:05	25	Q Okay. Do you remember anything that she had made with

12:08:07	1	it?
12:08:08	2	A Well, there was one thing, chicken wings.
12:08:12	3	${f Q}$ Okay. How did the did she cook them from frozen
12:08:15	4	like they do in the infomercial?
12:08:15	5	A From frozen like they show on the infomercial. And
12:08:19	6	they were just gummy. They were terrible.
12:08:23	7	Q You weren't a fan of the chicken wings?
12:08:26	8	A No, we didn't like the chicken wings.
12:08:29	9	$oldsymbol{Q}$ All right. Before I get to the actual St. Patrick's
12:08:37	10	Day 2016, I know you didn't use this cooker for really
12:08:41	11	anything, but did your wife intend to use this pressure
12:08:44	12	cooker for anything other than cooking foods under pressure?
12:08:49	13	A No, that was it, just to cook food under pressure.
12:08:52	14	Q Okay. All right. Go back to St. Patrick's Day,
12:09:00	15	March 17th, 2016. And I'm not going to ask you about the
12:09:04	16	preparation of the meal because Pam did all that, your wife.
12:09:09	17	Right?
12:09:09	18	A Right.
12:09:09	19	Q Well, what was Pam preparing on St. Patty's day 2016,
12:09:16	20	if you recall?
12:09:16	21	A Corned beef.
12:09:18	22	Q Traditional corned beef?
12:09:22	23	A Traditional corned beef, St. Patrick's Day.
12:09:22	24	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. And so at some point did you get beckoned to
12:09:28	25	come and open the pressure cooker?

12:09:30	1	A Well, yeah, she said supper was ready. I get out
12:09:33	2	there and supper's not ready, and she's doing something over
12:09:38	3	here. And I asked her about the pressure cooker, and she
12:09:40	4	said it's ready to go. And I even asked her, are you sure
12:09:43	5	the steam is out? And she said yes. And she went over
12:09:47	6	there and she physically showed me where it was open,
12:09:50	7	closed, opened it again. All right.
12:09:51	8	She turned around to get the bowel to put the corned
12:09:55	9	beef in. I turned that lid and it popped. It come out
12:10:00	10	right at me, face, all the way down. And I mean, it was
12:10:04	11	nothing to turn.
12:10:06	12	Q All right. Let me ask you about let me ask you
12:10:10	13	about that.
12:10:12	14	If I understood your testimony correctly, did your
12:10:15	15	wife actually physically demonstrate to you turning the
12:10:19	16	pressure cooker back and forth from the open to the closed
12:10:23	17	position just to make double sure
12:10:25	18	A Yes, she did.
12:10:27	19	Q that no more steam was coming out?
12:10:29	20	A Yes, she did.
12:10:30	21	Q And you're sure about that?
12:10:31	22	A I'm sure.
12:10:32	23	Q Okay. All right. So at that point, did you have any
12:10:34	24	reason to think that it was unsafe to go ahead and open the
12:10:37	25	lid?

12:10:38	1	A Not at all.
12:10:39	2	Q Okay. Did you get out a crowbar or a broom handle or
12:10:47	3	anything like that to open the lid?
12:10:49	4	A No. No.
12:10:49	5	Q You just used your two hands, right?
12:10:52	6	A Yes.
12:10:52	7	Q Okay. And other than, I guess, playing with it in the
12:10:55	8	box when you first got it, you never opened the lid before,
12:10:59	9	right?
12:10:59	10	A No.
12:10:59	11	Q Okay. So do you believe you came up and forced this
12:11:07	12	lid open? Is that how you got it open?
12:11:09	13	A Absolutely not.
12:11:09	14	Q Okay. Do you feel like you tried to open it just like
12:11:13	15	any other reasonable person might if they were just opening
12:11:15	16	a pressure cooker?
12:11:16	17	A Yeah, that's what I did. I mean
12:11:18	18	Q Okay. And tell us in detail exactly what happened
12:11:22	19	when you rotated the lid of that pressure cooker without a
12:11:26	20	stop key device on it.
12:11:28	21	A Well, everything that was in that pot, it seemed like
12:11:31	22	just about everything come out at me. Ceiling, floor. I
12:11:36	23	knocked my wife over going back so far.
12:11:40	24	I turned around to make sure she was all right, and
	0 -	

she said, you got to get to the shower. Your nose is

12:11:47	1	already blistering, you're peeling. So I went into the
12:11:51	2	shower and stayed there for like 45 minutes. Telling her,
12:11:56	3	you got to keep checking on me. I think I might I felt
12:11:59	4	funny. I thought maybe I was going to go into shock or
12:12:02	5	something. But everything turned out you know, we just
12:12:04	6	packed it with salve.
12:12:06	7	She works for a doctor, and she called the doctor
12:12:09	8	right away. And he told us what we needed to do, so that's
12:12:14	9	what we did.
12:12:15	10	Q All right. Mr. Chapman, when Tristar represented in
12:12:23	11	those infomercials and the manual those provisions that your
12:12:27	12	wife read to you that the Tristar Power Pressure Cooker XL's
12:12:31	13	lid could not be removed unless the contents were under
12:12:34	14	pressure, were those representations true and accurate, in
12:12:38	15	your experience?
12:12:38	16	A No, they weren't true. You can pop that thing off at
12:12:46	17	anytime. It's just like a ticking just like a bomb.
12:12:51	18	Q Okay. Would you have paid anything for this product
12:12:53	19	if you'd known about this safety defect?
12:12:55	20	A Absolutely not.
12:13:01	21	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did it have any value to your family to use as a slow
12:13:05	22	cooker or any other reason?
12:13:07	23	A No, that's not what we bought it for.
12:13:10	24	Q Okay. You bought a pressure cooker to use it as a
12:13:12	25	pressure cooker, right?

12:13:13	1	A Correct.
12:13:18	2	Q Okay. Will you ever plug this pressure cooker in for
12:13:21	3	reason again?
12:13:22	4	A No.
12:13:22	5	Q Okay. I understand at some point this right after
12:13:24	6	this, this pressure cooker made it out to the trash, right?
12:13:28	7	A Yeah, this pressure cooker went out to the trash.
12:13:33	8	Q Okay. And then you rethought that because it
12:13:36	9	eventually became a claim rep and it's now evidence, right?
12:13:38	10	A Right.
12:13:39	11	Q And why did you make the decision to serve as a class
12:13:42	12	rep in this case?
12:13:43	13	A I don't want it to happen to anybody else.
12:13:45	14	Q Okay.
12:13:46	15	A It's a dangerous machine.
12:13:50	16	Q Okay.
12:13:52	17	MR. EDWARDS: Mr. Chapman, thank you very much
12:13:53	18	for your time today. I appreciate it.
12:13:55	19	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
12:13:55	20	
12:13:55	21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12:13:55	22	BY MR. FECZKO:
12:14:00	23	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Chapman. How are you?
12:14:09	24	A Good. How are you?
12:14:10	25	Q Good.

12:14:14	1	So it's your testimony that you did not actually read
12:14:16	2	the owner's manual yourself; is that correct?
12:14:18	3	A No, I didn't physically. Me and the wife sat there
12:14:21	4	together flipping the pages together. But did I take it and
12:14:24	5	read it by myself? No, I did not.
12:14:26	6	Q Since you didn't read it, can you be sure that she
12:14:29	7	read every word of every page to you along the way?
12:14:31	8	A Yeah, I'm sure she read every page.
12:14:34	9	$oldsymbol{Q}$ In that case, did she see on page 1 or did she read to
12:14:38	10	you on page 1 of the manual
12:14:40	11	MR. FECZKO: Jeff, can you pull it up? Go the
12:14:43	12	next page, page 1. Can you call out in the second column,
12:15:03	13	the second bullet point.
12:15:05	14	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did she read to you where it says: Do not open the
12:15:08	15	Power Pressure Cooker XL until the unit has cooled and all
12:15:10	16	internal pressure has been released?
12:15:12	17	A Yes.
12:15:12	18	Q You recall her reading that to you?
12:15:14	19	A Yes.
12:15:14	20	Q Do you recall her reading: If the unit is difficult
12:15:17	21	to open, this indicates that the cooker is still
12:15:20	22	pressurized. Do not force it open?
12:15:22	23	A Right, we knew that.
12:15:23	24	Q And did you follow those instructions?
12:15:25	25	A I did.

12:15:25	1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And did your wife follow those instructions when she
12:15:28	2	used it?
12:15:29	3	A Yes.
12:15:31	4	MR. FECZKO: Can you pull up the sticker from
12:15:33	5	the lid?
12:15:38	6	Q Now, I recognize that your wife did the cooking with
12:15:42	7	this primarily, but did you ever see the sticker on the lid
12:15:44	8	of the unit?
12:15:45	9	A Yes.
12:15:45	10	Q Do you see in part 2 where it says: After cooking and
12:15:49	11	before opening the lid, turn the pressure valve to the vent
12:15:52	12	position and release steam.
12:15:53	13	And then under number 3: Once the float valve has
12:15:56	14	dropped, the lid may be removed?
12:15:58	15	A Mm-hmm.
12:15:58	16	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did you and your wife follow those instructions when
12:16:01	17	using the machine?
12:16:02	18	A I believe she did. I tell you what, to be honest with
12:16:05	19	you, she said it before we I said, is the steam out. She
12:16:07	20	said, I let the steam out a long time ago. Because she
12:16:10	21	programmed it to cook while we were at work. So when she
12:16:14	22	got home, she let that steam out. So, I mean, the pot
12:16:18	23	itself wasn't really hot at all.
12:16:20	24	Q It wasn't hot, the steam was out?
12:16:24	25	A Yes, it wasn't hot, the steam was out.

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12:16:25	1	Q When you say the pot wasn't hot, did you touch the
12:16:27	2	sides of the lid?
12:16:28	3	A The sides of the lid? I put one hand on the middle of
12:16:34	4	the lid and one on the side of the lid.
12:16:40	5	Q So when you opened it, you were like that?
12:16:42	6	A No, not like that, no. Both my hands were on the lid.
12:16:46	7	Q Like this?
12:16:47	8	A But one was in the middle and the other one was where
12:16:51	9	I slipped it, you know, like that. That's
12:16:53	10	Q Like that right there?
12:16:54	11	A Yeah. We'll say like that, yeah.
12:17:02	12	Q Now, you mentioned some prior occasions that your wife
12:17:06	13	had cooked with the unit, correct?
12:17:07	14	A Yes.
12:17:07	15	Q You discussed the chicken wings. She also made some
12:17:10	16	lasagne, too; is that right?
12:17:12	17	A That's correct.
12:17:12	18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did you enjoy the lasagne that your wife made with the
12:17:19	19	unit?
12:17:19	20	THE COURT: You're under oath.
12:17:21	21	A It was okay.
12:17:23	22	Q Was that a "yes"? Sorry.
12:17:26	23	A Yeah.
12:17:27	24	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Do you recall anything else that was cooked with it in
12:17:30	25	addition to the chicken wings or the lasagne?

12:17:33	1	A No, that was about what I can remember. You know, and
12:17:35	2	the corned beef.
12:17:37	3	Q And she never had any issues opening the lid, correct?
12:17:40	4	A No, I believe she was a very lucky girl.
12:17:44	5	Q Are you related to a James Chapman?
12:17:46	6	A Not that I know of, no.
12:17:48	7	Q Okay. Now, the date of your accident was
12:17:56	8	St. Patrick's Day, correct?
12:17:57	9	A Correct.
12:17:58	10	Q About what time did your wife call you in and say
12:18:03	11	things are ready to eat?
12:18:04	12	A I want to say around 5, 5:00.
12:18:07	13	Q Had you taken part in any other St. Patrick's Day
12:18:11	14	festivities that day?
12:18:12	15	A No, no.
12:18:12	16	Q Now, where were you when your wife called you in?
12:18:15	17	A I was in the family room watching the basketball game.
12:18:20	18	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And where is the family room in relation to the
12:18:23	19	kitchen?
12:18:23	20	A Backside of the house.
12:18:24	21	Q Kind of
12:18:26	22	A The house is sort of like in an L with the addition,
12:18:32	23	so I was on the far end of the L side.
12:18:34	24	${f Q}$ And when you came into the kitchen, where was the unit
12:18:37	25	in the kitchen?

12:18:38	1	A On the countertop.
12:18:39	2	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Okay. Can you describe for me the layout of your
12:18:43	3	kitchen?
12:18:44	4	A It's also a straight up refrigerator, sink, and then
12:18:51	5	you got counters on each side. And it was between the
12:18:56	6	refrigerator and the sink.
12:18:58	7	Q And you didn't observe anything about the cook cycles
12:19:01	8	or what you did what your wife did that morning, correct?
12:19:04	9	A No, I don't know how it was programmed, no.
12:19:07	10	Q Do you have any idea how much time had passed between
12:19:11	11	when the cook cycle completed that day and when your wife
12:19:15	12	called you?
12:19:16	13	A All I know is that she said it cooked earlier in the
12:19:19	14	day and had sat there most of the most of the day I take
12:19:24	15	it, you know. I'm not exactly sure how you know, she
12:19:31	16	came home from work. She works so close to home, she went
12:19:33	17	home from work and put it together. When I came in the door
12:19:36	18	from work and just got a little relaxed, it was she said
12:19:42	19	supper is ready.
12:19:43	20	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Sure. But aside from knowing that the steam was out
12:19:48	21	and it had cooled, do you have any idea how much time had
12:19:51	22	passed between when the cook cycle ended and when your wife
12:19:56	23	called you into the room?
12:19:56	24	A I'm sorry, I don't. I don't.
12:20:09	25	Q And when you opened the unit, where was your wife in

12:20:12	1	relation to you?
12:20:12	2	A She was right behind me.
12:20:14	3	Q Immediately behind you?
12:20:15	4	A Yeah, immediately behind me, because when that thing
12:20:19	5	exploded, I fell back and I hit her or I knocked her over.
12:20:25	6	So she was right behind me when I cocked that pot open,
12:20:30	7	she was behind me on the other side of the cabinet, on the
12:20:33	8	backside, getting a bowel. She turned around with that
12:20:37	9	bowel and, bam, you know, that thing come out. And I
12:20:42	10	just well, we just collided together is what we did.
12:20:45	11	Q You were here for Dr. Pratt's testimony, correct?
12:20:48	12	A Yes.
12:20:48	13	${f Q}$ When you opened the unit, did you grab it on the sides
12:20:52	14	at all?
12:20:53	15	A No, I did not.
12:20:53	16	$oldsymbol{Q}$ And you made sure or your wife made sure that all of
12:21:00	17	the steam was out of the unit?
12:21:01	18	A Yes.
12:21:01	19	Q And you didn't have to exert any force whatsoever when
12:21:06	20	you opened the lid; is that correct?
12:21:08	21	A No force, no. It was very easy.
12:21:12	22	MR. FECZKO: No further questions.
12:21:13	23	THE COURT: Any more redirect?
12:21:16	24	MR. EDWARDS: No, Your Honor. We're done.
12:21:19	25	THE COURT: We're going to take the lunchtime

12:21:21	1	recess. Over that time period, don't talk about the case
12:21:24	2	with anyone, don't form any opinions, don't express any
12:21:26	3	opinions, no private research. All the admonitions and
12:21:33	4	cautions I gave before continue to apply.
12:21:35	5	We'll stand adjourned a little over just because of
12:21:38	6	some other responsibilities, we're going to adjourn until
12:21:42	7	about 1:20, okay, so about an hour from now. Okay?
12:21:45	8	So take your pads, put your names on the first page of
12:21:48	9	them. Leave them on your seats, and we'll collect them and
12:21:53	10	then bring them back to you when we reconvene.
12:21:56	11	So we'll stand adjourned until that time.
12:22:02	12	(Luncheon recess taken.)
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

12:22:02	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
01:52:38	2	(At side bar.)
01:52:38	3	THE COURT: We'll go back on the record. Let
01:52:43	4	me ask counsel if they might walk up.
01:52:53	5	I just happened to speak with Magistrate Judge
01:52:57	6	Greenberg, and he indicated that the settlement may be in
01:53:02	7	the offing.
01:53:06	8	Is that your understanding as well?
01:53:07	9	Good afternoon.
01:53:11	10	And so, is that true?
01:53:17	11	MR. LANDSKRONER: We are just finishing that
01:53:18	12	up, and I can divert if you want to
01:53:19	13	THE COURT: Well, the way we typically do it,
01:53:20	14	and I'll do it in this case, if the parties believe they've
01:53:24	15	reached a settlement, I ask the parties to then kind of lay
01:53:27	16	out the terms of the settlement as they understand them.
01:53:30	17	But if you could all stand close to this microphone,
01:53:36	18	and I don't know if the lead counsel wants to take the lead,
01:53:43	19	or whether you want to, John?
01:53:43	20	MR. LEWIS: Mr. Colaizzi is not of counsel
01:53:43	21	THE REPORTER: I can't hear. I'm sorry.
01:53:43	22	MR. LEWIS: Mr. Colaizzi, who is from Venable,
	23	is not counsel of record, but he's been handling the
	24	settlement. May he speak to the terms
	25	THE COURT: Sure.

```
MR. LEWIS: -- if there are terms to be
         1
         2
             spoken?
                            MR. LANDSKRONER: Your Honor, and I've been
         3
01:53:44
             working with Mr. Colaizzi, along with Judge Greenberg, and
01:53:44
             so we've been on that tack, and we were going back and forth
01:54:05
             and I didn't want to miss coming back up to court when we
01:54:07
         6
01:54:07
         7
             resumed, so --
01:54:10
         8
                             THE COURT: And you're with Venable?
        9
                             MR. COLAIZZI: I'm with a law firm, Venable,
01:54:12
        10
             yes, Your Honor.
01:54:12
                             THE COURT: But you're representing . . .
        11
01:54:14
                            MR. COLAIZZI:
       12
                                             Tristar.
01:54:17
01:54:18
                             THE COURT: Okay. For settlement purposes?
                            MR. COLAIZZI: Yes, sir.
01:54:20
       14
       15
                             THE COURT: Why don't you lay out what you
01:54:21
       16
             believe the terms of the settlement are?
01:54:23
                             MR. COLAIZZI: Yeah. I have not heard the
       17
01:54:26
       18
             last back and forth, so I just want to make sure we're on
01:54:27
       19
              the same page.
01:54:27
                             JUDGE GREENBERG: The only three issues that
01:54:29
        20
        21
             we were discussing had to --
01:54:37
01:54:37
        2.2
                    There's no jury. Can you hear me?
        23
                             THE COURT: If you --
01:54:37
       24
                             JUDGE GREENBERG: The only three issues that
01:54:29
       25
             we were discussing were the coupon credit issue which the
01:54:37
```

01:54:41	1	parties have agreed to the amount not 72.50, along with a
01:54:45	2	three-minute video.
01:54:47	3	With regard to the reach, there would be a 80-percent
01:54:50	4	reach with a cap fee of 890,000. There will be a range
01:54:55	5	which for fees, without objection, submitted to the
01:54:59	6	Court. The Court will not know the range, and the parties
01:55:02	7	will go from there.
01:55:03	8	The parties confidentially can, I guess, for purposes
01:55:06	9	of now, these are the numbers that they agreed on.
01:55:09	10	That's correct?
01:55:11	11	MR. LEWIS: Yes.
01:55:11	12	JUDGE GREENBERG: Counsel?
01:55:12	13	MR. COLAIZZI: Yes, sir.
01:55:14	14	JUDGE GREENBERG: There's also, not something
01:55:16	15	that I negotiated with them, Your Honor, but there's a
01:55:18	16	warranty issue and a plaintiffs' fee issue, which they
01:55:21	17	likewise agree on.
01:55:21	18	Those are the material issues that I was able to have
01:55:25	19	the parties agree to.
01:55:26	20	THE COURT: Mr. Landskroner, does that outline
01:55:29	21	what you belive the parties have agreed upon?
01:55:29	22	MR. LANDSKRONER: It is, Your Honor, with one
01:55:29	23	addition, that there is an additional case
01:55:29	24	THE REPORTER: I can't hear.
01:55:35	25	MR. LANDSKRONER: There is an additional case

```
that involves a different unit that Mr. Colaizzi has been
         1
01:55:36
         2
             working on, and that might be transferred into the
01:55:40
              settlement part of this case, and when --
         3
01:55:43
                             THE COURT:
                                         They're on the same terms?
01:55:45
         4
                            MR. COLAIZZI: Yes, Your Honor.
         5
01:55:49
             other terms that we agree on. Judge Greenberg was
01:55:50
         6
01:55:54
         7
             addressing the terms we were not agreeing on that we now
         8
              agree on, and so it will be a national class. There will
01:55:57
             be -- all models of Tristar Products would be taken care of
         9
01:56:02
              on one class. There's a case in California named Piñon
        10
01:56:05
             versus Tristar. They would either be transferred here or
        11
01:56:10
        12
              they'll dismiss without prejudice and plaintiffs here will
01:56:14
        13
              amend the complaint to bring in that plaintiff and those
01:56:19
       14
              lawyers. We've already negotiated a fee with those lawyers
01:56:21
             of $225,000, and the -- so that will take care of -- cover
       15
01:56:24
       16
             all the models in that way.
01:56:28
                             THE COURT: Okay. Would it generally be a
       17
01:56:30
       18
             claims made --
01:56:32
       19
                            MR. COLAIZZI: Yes.
01:56:32
                            MR. LANDSKRONER: It's a national class.
01:56:33
        20
                             THE COURT: Okay. And then with some
        21
01:56:36
01:56:37
        2.2
             discussion in terms of compensation, but would there be an
        23
              accompanying -- a requirement to return the pressure
01:56:45
        24
             cookers?
01:56:47
       25
                            MR. COLAIZZI: No, Your Honor. They have to
01:56:50
```

```
watch a safety video in order to receive a benefit.
         1
01:56:52
             benefit is a $72.50 credit to be used towards the purchase
         2
01:56:55
             of three very popular products, one of which is another
         3
01:57:01
             pressure cooker, if they want a more advanced one.
01:57:04
                    And they also receive, without doing anything, except
01:57:07
             watching the video, a one-year warranty on their current
01:57:11
         6
01:57:16
         7
             pressure cooker. And then the notice that you had mentioned
              in your order a week ago Sunday about opting out if you have
01:57:21
              a personal injury claim will be part of that as well.
         9
01:57:28
             We'll, of course, make a denial and then there won't be
        10
01:57:31
              anything in the settlement agreement to suggest, expressly
        11
01:57:35
             or implicitly, that there's a defect.
        12
01:57:39
01:57:43
        13
                             MR. LANDSKRONER: And, Your Honor, there's
        14
             also an insensitive award that we've agreed to --
01:57:45
01:57:45
       15
                             MR. COLAIZZI: Yes.
       16
                             MR. LANDSKRONER: -- that we would provide the
01:57:45
       17
             Court for, and then we also have agreed to terms to resolve
01:57:48
        18
             the personal injury claims outside of that for these three
01:57:49
              claims.
       19
01:57:51
                             THE COURT: Okay. Is everybody agreed I
01:57:53
        20
             should retain jurisdiction --
        21
01:57:55
01:57:58
        2.2
                             MR. LANDSKRONER:
                                                Yes.
        23
                             MR. COLAIZZI: Yes.
01:57:59
                             THE COURT: -- enforce the terms?
        24
01:57:59
        25
                    Well, thank you.
01:58:02
```

		1/3
01:58:03	1	We'll go off the record.
	2	
	3	(Proceedings adjourned at 2:00 p.m.)
	4	
	5	
	6	CERTIFICATE
	7	
	8	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
	9	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
	10	
	11	/s/ Donnalee Cotone 10th of July, 2017 DONNALEE COTONE, RMR, CRR, RSA DATE
	12	DOWNSHIE COTONE, REIK, CIRK, ROM
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	